

Murder at Dreadsbury Mansion (Pelletier Problem 55)

Naproche Formalization: Steffen Frerix and Peter Koepke

2018 and 2021

1 Introduction

Murder at Dreadsbury Mansion is a logic puzzle from Francis Jeffry Pelletier, *Seventy-Five Problems for Testing Automatic Theorem Provers*, *Journal of Automated Reasoning* 2 (1986) 191–216. We quote the original text in the third section below where it can be compared directly with our formalization.

We imitate the language of the puzzle by adding nouns and other phrases to the input language ForTheL of the Naproche system. We then formulate the problem in a somewhat un-grammatical language which resembles the original text. The conclusion of the case is formulated as a theorem and proved automatically by the background automated theorem prover (ATP) of Naproche. Modern ATPs have no difficulties with the Pelletier problem, so we don't have to supply an explicit proof.

The source text of the formalization looks like a standard \LaTeX source with signature, axiom and theorem environments:

```
...
\begin{signature}
Aunt Agatha is a person.
\end{signature}
...
\begin{axiom}
Some one that lives in Dreadsbury mansion killed Aunt Agatha.
\end{axiom}
...
\begin{theorem}
Agatha killed herself.
\end{theorem}
```

The \LaTeX output of the formalization, on a light-grey background, was achieved by redefining the printout of signature, axiom and theorem environments: signature and axiom have no visible effects, and the theorem environment prints out as “Therefore: ...”.

2 The Language of Dreadsbury

To approximate the language of the puzzle we use some tricks. In natural language the word “killed” could be introduced by writing “A person killed a person is a sentence”. In ForTheL we cannot use common nouns (“a person”) to specify the variable slots. Instead we use variables that are pre-typed as “a person”. To stay close to the natural language the variables are called “APerson” and “another person” and we introduce “killed” by “APerson killed anotherPerson is an atom.” Note that in FortheL a basic sentence is called an “atom”.

```
[synonym live/-s] [synonym herself/himself/themselves] [synonym person/-s]
A person is a notion.
Let one, aPerson, APerson, anotherPerson stand for persons.
Aunt Agatha is a person.
Let Agatha stand for Aunt Agatha.
The butler is a person.
Charles is a person.
APerson lives in Dreadsbury mansion is an atom.
APerson killed anotherPerson is an atom.
Let P killed themselves stand for P killed P. Let Q was killed by P stand
for P killed Q. Let a victim of P stand for a person that was killed by P.
Let a killer stand for a person that killed some person.
APerson hates anotherPerson is an atom.
Let Q is hated by P stand for P hates Q.
APerson is richer than anotherPerson is an atom.
```

3 The Case

We juxtapose the original text of the puzzle with our formalization:

Someone who lives in Dreadsbury Mansion killed Aunt Agatha. Agatha, the butler, and Charles live in Dreadsbury Mansion, and are the only people who live therein. A killer always hates his victim, and is never richer than his victim. Charles hates no one that Aunt Agatha hates. Agatha hates everyone except the butler. The butler hates everyone not richer than Aunt Agatha. The butler hates everyone Agatha hates. No one hates everyone. Agatha is not the butler. Therefore: Agatha killed herself.

```
Some one that lives in Dreadsbury mansion killed Aunt Agatha.
Agatha, the butler and Charles live in Dreadsbury mansion and for every
Person P that lives in Dreadsbury mansion P is Aunt Agatha or P is the
butler or P is Charles.
```

Every killer X hates every victim of X and is not richer than any victim of X.
Charles hates no one that is hated by Aunt Agatha.
Agatha hates every one that is not the butler.
The butler hates every one that is not richer than Aunt Agatha.
The butler hates every one that is hated by Agatha.
No one hates every person.
Agatha is not the butler.

4 The Solution

Therefore: Agatha killed herself.