

# Isabelle/HOL Exercises

## Lists

### Quantifying Lists

Define a universal and an existential quantifier on lists using primitive recursion. Expression `alls P xs` should be true iff  $P\ x$  holds for every element  $x$  of  $xs$ , and `exs P xs` should be true iff  $P\ x$  holds for some element  $x$  of  $xs$ .

```
primrec alls :: "('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
  "alls P [] = True"
| "alls P (x#xs) = (P x  $\wedge$  alls P xs)"
```

```
primrec exs :: "('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
  "exs P [] = False"
| "exs P (x#xs) = (P x  $\vee$  exs P xs)"
```

Prove or disprove (by counterexample) the following theorems. You may have to prove some lemmas first.

Use the `[simp]`-attribute only if the equation is truly a simplification and is necessary for some later proof.

```
lemma "alls ( $\lambda x. P\ x \wedge Q\ x$ ) xs = (alls P xs  $\wedge$  alls Q xs)"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply auto
done
```

```
lemma alls_append: "alls P (xs @ ys) = (alls P xs  $\wedge$  alls P ys)"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply auto
done
```

```
lemma "alls P (rev xs) = alls P xs"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply (auto simp add: alls_append)
done
```

```
lemma "exs ( $\lambda x. P\ x \wedge Q\ x$ ) xs = (exs P xs  $\wedge$  exs Q xs)"
  quickcheck
:
```

A possible counterexample is:  $P = \text{even}$ ,  $Q = \text{odd}$ ,  $xs = [0, 1]$

```
lemma "exs P (map f xs) = exs (P o f) xs"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply auto
done
```

```
lemma exs_append: "exs P (xs @ ys) = (exs P xs ∨ exs P ys)"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply auto
done
```

```
lemma "exs P (rev xs) = exs P xs"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply (auto simp add: exs_append)
done
```

Find a (non-trivial) term  $Z$  such that the following equation holds:

```
lemma "exs (λx. P x ∨ Q x) xs = Z"
lemma "exs (λx. P x ∨ Q x) xs = (exs P xs ∨ exs Q xs)"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply auto
done
```

Express the existential via the universal quantifier – **exs** should not occur on the right-hand side:

```
lemma "exs P xs = Z"
lemma "exs P xs = (¬ alls (λx. ¬ P x) xs)"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply auto
done
```

Define a primitive-recursive function `is_in x xs` that checks if  $x$  occurs in  $xs$ . Now express `is_in` via `exs`:

```
primrec is_in :: "'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool" where
  "is_in x [] = False"
| "is_in x (z#zs) = (x=z ∨ is_in x zs)"
```

```
lemma "is_in a xs = exs (λx. x=a) xs"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply auto
done
```

Define a primitive-recursive function `nodups xs` that is true iff `xs` does not contain duplicates, and a function `deldups xs` that removes all duplicates. Note that `deldups [x, y, x]` (where `x` and `y` are distinct) can be either `[x, y]` or `[y, x]`.

```
primrec nodups :: "'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
  "nodups [] = True"
| "nodups (x#xs) = ( $\neg$  is_in x xs  $\wedge$  nodups xs)"

primrec deldups :: "'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list" where
  "deldups [] = []"
| "deldups (x#xs) = (if is_in x xs then deldups xs else x # deldups xs)"
```

Prove or disprove (by counterexample) the following theorems.

```
lemma "length (deldups xs) <= length xs"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply auto
done

lemma is_in_deldups: "is_in a (deldups xs) = is_in a xs"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply auto
done

lemma "nodups (deldups xs)"
  apply (induct "xs")
  apply (auto simp add: is_in_deldups)
done

lemma "deldups (rev xs) = rev (deldups xs)"
  quickcheck
:
```

A possible counterexample is: `xs = [0, 1, 0]`