

PCC

Martin Wildmoser

7th February 2005



# Contents

```
theory EX-MainSum = VCGExec:
```

### 0.0.1 Definitions

#### constdefs

*this::nat*

*this*  $\equiv$  0

*k::nat*

*k* $\equiv$ 1

*n::nat*

*n*  $\equiv$  2

*res::nat*

*res* $\equiv$ 3

### 0.0.3 Program Code

```
consts comment ::instr  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  instr ((- -- -) [61,60] 60)
```

```
defs comment-def [simp]:
```

```
comment i s  $\equiv$  i
```

#### constdefs

*StartC* :: int  $\Rightarrow$  jvm-method cdecl

*StartC arg*  $\equiv$  (Start,(Object, [],[(main,[]),Integer,(2,4,[

*Push (Intg arg),*

*Store n,*

*Push (Intg 0),*

*Store k,*

*Push (Intg 0),*

*Store res,*

*Load k,*

*Load n,*

*IfIntLeq 10 -- "if n <= k then terminate else k++; res+=k" ,*

*Load k,*

*Push (Intg 1),*

*IAdd,*

*Store k,*

*Load k,*

*Load res,*

*IAdd,*

*Store res,*

*Goto (-11),*

*Load res,*

*Return -- "main-ret"],*

*[]))))*

#### 0.0.4 Prove safety using hand-made annotations.

#### 0.0.5 Annotations

**constdefs**

*n0::int*

$n0 \equiv 5$

**constdefs**

*sum-inv::expr*

$\text{sum-inv} \equiv \text{And} [\text{Ty} (\text{Rg } k) \text{ Integer}, \text{Ty} (\text{Rg } res) \text{ Integer},$   
 $\text{Ty} (\text{Rg } n) \text{ Integer},$   
 $((\text{Cn} (\text{Intg } 2)) \otimes (\text{Rg } res)) \doteq (\text{Rg } k \otimes (\text{Rg } k \oplus (\text{Cn} (\text{Intg } 1)))),$   
 $(\text{Cn} (\text{Intg } 0)) \preceq \text{Rg } k, (\text{Rg } k) \preceq \text{Rg } n,$   
 $\text{Rg } n \doteq \text{Cn} (\text{Intg } n0)]$

#### 0.0.6 Packing code and annotations

**constdefs**

*prog::jbc-prog*

$\text{prog} \equiv (\text{SystemClasses} @ [\text{StartC } n0],$   
 $[(\text{Start}, \text{main}, 6), \text{sum-inv}])$

#### 0.0.7 Generate ML code for the VCG

**generate-code** (*EX-Sum.ML*) [*term-of*]

$\text{wf-jvm-prog-phi} = \lambda \Phi (\text{P}::\text{jvm-prog}). \text{wf-jvm-prog-phi} \Phi \text{ P}$

$\text{wf} = \text{wf}$

$\text{opt} = \text{opt}$

$\text{vcg} = \text{vcgTy}$

$\text{prog} = \text{prog}$

$\text{phi-prog} = \text{map-of2} (\text{convert-pt} (\text{prog-kil} (\text{fst } \text{prog})))$

$\text{wfS} = \text{wfS}$

$\text{wf-jvm-prog-phiS} = \lambda \Phi (\text{P}::\text{jvm-prog}). \text{wf-jvm-prog-phiS} \Phi \text{ P}$

$\text{initjob} = \text{initjob} (\text{fst } \text{prog}) \text{ Start } "main"$

$\text{nxtjob} = \text{nxtjob} (\text{fst } \text{prog}) \text{ Start } "main"$

$\text{printjob} = \lambda \text{job}. \text{printjob} (\text{fst } \text{prog}) \text{ Start } "main" \text{ job}$

$\text{parsejob} = \text{parsejob}$

#### 0.0.8 Verification Condition

**ML** {*\* use EX-Sum.ML \**}

**ML** {*\* wf prog; \**}

**ML** {*\* val vc = opt (vcg prog); \**}

```
ML {* val pvc = (Pretty.str-of (Sign.pretty-term (sign-of (the-context ())) (term-of-expr vc))); *}
```

— now we trasfer the ML result back to Isabelle

```
ML-setup {*  

  val t = term-of-expr vc;  

  val T = fastype-of t;  

  Context.>> (fn thy => thy |>  

    Theory.add-consts-i [(vc, T, NoSyn)] |>  

    (fst o PureThy.add-defs-i false [((vc-def, Logic.mk-equals (Const (EX-MainSum.vc, T), t)), [])]));  

*}
```

— the verification condition is now defined as constant vc::expr

### 0.0.9 Proving the Verification Condition

Here we prove the vc (via the semantics of formulae). The evaluation simpset from VCexec.thy is tuned for this purpose. Some of the evaluation rules (evalEevalEs.simps) are ommitted, because this keeps the expansion overhead small.

The following lemma is used for the verification of the example. Alternatively one could use a tactic for bounded arithemtics.**lemma** special-bounded-mult:  $\llbracket 2 * a = b * (b+1); b < (5::int); 0 \leq b \rrbracket \implies b + a \leq 1$  short proof

```
lemma vcg-prog-holds:  

  prog ⊢ vc  

  apply (simp only: provable-def vc-def)  

  apply (safe intro!: And0 AndI')  

  apply (simp only: deriv-def, rule ballI, clar simp simp del: evalE-evalEs.simps simp add: sem-simps |  

    drule special-bounded-mult, arith, assumption+, simp del: evalE-evalEs.simps add: zadd-zmult-distrib  

    zadd-zmult-distrib2 )+  

  done more explicit proof  

lemma vcg-prog-holds2:  

  prog ⊢ vc  

  apply (simp only: provable-def vc-def)  

  apply (safe intro!: And0 AndI')  

  — We get an initial condition and one condition for edge going out of an annotated position.  

  — Initial Condition: initF implies isafeF prog (ipc prog)  

  apply (simp only: deriv-def)  

  apply (rule ballI)  

  apply (clar simp simp del: evalE-evalEs.simps simp add: sem-simps)
```

— (Start,main,6) nach (Start,main,6) oder (Start,main,19)

— main challenge: arithmetical condition

```
apply (drule special-bounded-mult)  

apply (simp del: evalE-evalEs.simps add: zadd-zmult-distrib zadd-zmult-distrib2 )+  

done
```

—

### 0.0.10 Verify program using interval annotations.

#### 0.0.11 Annotations

**constdefs**

*n2::int*

*n2* ≡ 4

**constdefs**

*res2::int*

*res2* ≡ 2147418112

**constdefs**

*sum-inv2::expr*

*sum-inv2* ≡ *And* [*Ty* (*Rg k*) *Integer*, *Ty* (*Rg res*) *Integer*,  
*Ty* (*Rg n*) *Integer*,  
 $(Cn (Intg 0)) \preceq Rg res, (Rg res) \preceq Cn (Intg res2),$   
 $(Cn (Intg 0)) \preceq Rg k, (Rg k) \preceq Cn (Intg n2),$   
 $Rg n \doteq Cn (Intg n2)]$

#### 0.0.12 Packing code and annotations

**constdefs**

*prog2::jbc-prog*

*prog2* ≡ (*SystemClasses* @ [*StartC n2*],

[((*Start,main,6*),*sum-inv2*)] Note, that *suminv2* is the strongest intervall annotation.

#### 0.0.13 Generate ML code for the VCG

#### 0.0.14 Generate ML code for the VCG

**generate-code** (*EX-Sum.ML*) [*term-of*]

*wf-jvm-prog-phi* =  $\lambda \Phi (P::jvm\text{-}prog). wf\text{-}jvm\text{-}prog\text{-}\phi \Phi P$

*wf* = *wf*

*opt* = *opt*

*vcg* = *vcgTy*

*prog* = *prog2*

*phi-prog* = *map-of2* (*convert-pt* (*prog-kil* (*fst prog*)))

*wfS* = *wfS*

*wf-jvm-prog-phiS* =  $\lambda \Phi (P::jvm\text{-}prog). wf\text{-}jvm\text{-}prog\text{-}\phi \Phi P$

*initjob* = *initjob* (*fst prog*) *Start "main"*

*nxtjob* = *nxtjob* (*fst prog*) *Start "main"*

```
printjob = λ job. printjob (fst prog) Start "main" job
parsejob = parsejob
```

### 0.0.15 Verification Condition

```
ML {* use EX-Sum.ML *}
ML {* wf prog; *}
ML {* val vc2 = opt (vcg prog); *}
ML {* val pvc = (Pretty.str-of (Sign.pretty-term (sign-of (the-context ())) (term-of-expr vc2))); *}
```

— now we trasfer the ML result back to Isabelle

```
ML-setup {*  
val t = term-of-expr vc2;  
val T = fastype-of t;  
Context.>> (fn thy => thy |>  
  Theory.add-consts-i [(vc2, T, NoSyn)] |>  
  (fst o PureThy.add-defs-i false [((vc2-def, Logic.mk-equals (Const (EX-MainSum.vc2, T), t)), [])]));  
*}
```

— the verification condition is now defined as constant vc2::expr

```
lemma vcg-prog2-holds:  
prog2 ⊢ vc2  
apply (simp only: provable-def vc2-def)  
apply (safe intro!: And0 AndI')  
apply (simp only: deriv-def, rule ballI, clarsimp simp del: evalE-evalEs.simps simp add: sem-simps)  
— problem: annotation is not inductive!
```

1.  $\bigwedge a aa b ab ac ba bb x xa.$   
 $\| ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) \in \text{safe}_{\square} \text{prog2}; 0 \leq xa; xa \leq 2147418112; 0 \leq x;$   
 $x \leq 4;$   
*the-Bool*  
 $(\text{evalE } \text{prog2 } ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb)$   
 $(\text{Pos } ("Start", "main", \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (Suc 0))))));$   
 $\text{int } (\text{length } ba) = 1;$   
 $\text{the-Bool } (\text{evalE } \text{prog2 } ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) (\text{Ty } (\text{Rg } 0) \text{ NT})) \vee$   
*the-Bool*  
 $(\text{evalE } \text{prog2 } ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) (\text{Ty } (\text{Rg } 0) (\text{Class } "Start")));$   
 $\text{evalE } \text{prog2 } ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) (\text{Rg } (\text{Suc } 0)) = \text{Intg } x;$   
 $\text{evalE } \text{prog2 } ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) (\text{Rg } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0))) = \text{Intg } 4;$   
 $\text{evalE } \text{prog2 } ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) (\text{Rg } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)))) = \text{Intg } xa;$   
 $x \neq 4 \|$   
 $\implies xa + x \leq 2147418111$

**oops** Verification fails because suminv2 is not inductive!constdefs

```
sum-inv2I::expr
sum-inv2I ≡ And [Ty (Rg k) Integer, Ty (Rg res) Integer,
```

$Ty (Rg n) \text{ Integer},$   
 $Cn (\text{Intg } 0) \preceq Rg res, Rg res \preceq ((Cn MX) \ominus (Rg k \oplus Cn (\text{Intg } 1))),$   
 $Cn (\text{Intg } 0) \preceq Rg k, Rg k \preceq Cn (\text{Intg } n2),$   
 $Rg n \doteq Cn (\text{Intg } n2)]$

### 0.0.16 Packing code and annotations

**constdefs**

$prog2'::jbc-prog$   
 $prog2' \equiv (SystemClasses @ [StartC n2],$   
 $[((Start,main,6),sum-inv2I)])$

### 0.0.17 Generate ML code for the VCG

### 0.0.18 Generate ML code for the VCG

**generate-code** (*EX-Sum.ML*) [*term-of*]

$wf-jvm-prog-phi = \lambda \Phi (P::jvm-prog). wf-jvm-prog-phi \Phi P$   
 $wf = wf$   
 $opt = opt$   
 $vcg = vcg Ty$   
 $prog = prog2'$

$phi-prog = map-of2 (convert-pt (prog-kil (fst prog)))$   
 $wfS = wfS$   
 $wf-jvm-prog-phiS = \lambda \Phi (P::jvm-prog). wf-jvm-prog-phiS \Phi P$   
 $initjob = initjob (fst prog) Start "main"$   
 $nxtjob = nxtjob (fst prog) Start "main"$   
 $printjob = \lambda job. printjob (fst prog) Start "main" job$   
 $parsejob = parsejob$

### 0.0.19 Verification Condition

**ML** {\* use *EX-Sum.ML* \*}  
**ML** {\* *wf* *prog*; \*}  
**ML** {\* *val vc2' = opt (vcg prog)*; \*}  
**ML** {\* *val pvc = (Pretty.str-of (Sign.pretty-term (sign-of (the-context ())) (term-of-expr vc2')))*; \*}

— now we trasfer the ML result back to Isabelle

**ML-setup** {\*  
*val t = term-of-expr vc2';*  
*val T = fastype-of t;*  
*Context.>> (fn thy => thy |>*  
*Theory.add-consts-i [(vc2', T, NoSyn)] |>*

```
(fst o PureThy.add-defs-i false [((vc2'-def, Logic.mk-equals (Const (EX-MainSum.vc2', T), t)), []
[]));
*}
```

**lemma** *vcg-prog2'-holds*:

*prog2' ⊢ vc2'*

**apply** (*simp only: provable-def vc2'-def*)

**apply** (*safe intro!: And0 AndI'*)

**apply** (*simp only: deriv-def, rule ballI, clar simp simp del: evalE-evalEs.simps simp add: sem-simps*)

— problem: annotation is not inductive!

1.  $\bigwedge a aa b ab ac ba bb x xa$ .

$\llbracket ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) \in \text{safe}_{\square} \text{prog2}'; 0 \leq xa; xa \leq 2147483646 - x; 0 \leq x;$

$x \leq 4;$

*the-Bool*

$\llbracket (\text{evalE } \text{prog2}' ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb)$

$(\text{Pos } ("Start", "main", \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0))))));$

$\text{int } (\text{length } ba) = 1;$

*the-Bool*  $(\text{evalE } \text{prog2}' ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) (\text{Ty } (\text{Rg } 0) \text{ NT})) \vee$

*the-Bool*

$\llbracket (\text{evalE } \text{prog2}' ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) (\text{Ty } (\text{Rg } 0) (\text{Class } "Start")));$

$\text{evalE } \text{prog2}' ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) (\text{Rg } (\text{Suc } 0)) = \text{Intg } x;$

$\text{evalE } \text{prog2}' ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) (\text{Rg } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0))) = \text{Intg } 4;$

$\text{evalE } \text{prog2}' ((a, aa, b), (ab, ac, ba), bb) (\text{Rg } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)))) = \text{Intg } xa;$

$x \neq 4 \rrbracket$

$\implies 2 * x + xa \leq 2147483644$

**oops**

— Fails again. No linear invariant seems to be sufficient.

—

### 0.0.20 Verify robust program using intervals.

#### constdefs

*StartCR :: int  $\Rightarrow$  jvm-method cdecl*

*StartCR arg  $\equiv$  (Start,(Object, [], [*

*(main, []), Integer, (2,4, [*

*Push (Intg arg),*

*Store n,*

*Push (Intg 0),*

*Store res,*

*Push (Intg 0),*

*Store k,*

*Load k -- "LOOP: suminv3",*

*Load n,*

*IfIntLeq 13 -- "if n <= k then terminate else k++; res+=k",*

*Load res,*

*Push (Intg 2147418113) -- "2147418112 is the maximum value res can have at this position without causing an overflow",*

*IfIntLeq 10,*

*Load k -- "k: [0,arg], res:[0,2147418112]",*

*Push (Intg 1),*

*IAdd,*

*Store k,*

*Load k,*

*Load res,*

*IAdd,*

*Store res,*

*Goto (-14) -- "goto LOOP",*

*Load res,*

*Return -- "main-ret"],*

*[]))))*

#### constdefs

*n3::int*

*n3  $\equiv$  65535*

#### constdefs

*sum-inv3::expr*

*sum-inv3  $\equiv$  And [Ty (Rg k) Integer, Ty (Rg res) Integer,*

*Ty (Rg n) Integer,*

*(Cn (Intg 0))  $\leq$  Rg res, (Rg res)  $\leq$  Cn (Intg MAX),*

*(Cn (Intg 0))  $\leq$  Rg k, (Rg k)  $\leq$  (Cn (Intg 65535)),*

*Rg n  $\leq$  Cn (Intg 65535)]*

### 0.0.21 Packing code and annotations

**constdefs**

```
prog3::jbc-prog
prog3 ≡ (SystemClasses @ [StartCR n3],
[((Start,main,6),sum-inv3)])
```

### 0.0.22 Generate ML code for the VCG

**generate-code** (*EX-Sum.ML*) [*term-of*]

```
wf-jvm-prog-phi = λ Φ (P::jvm-prog). wf-jvm-prog-phi Φ P
wf = wf
opt = opt
vcg = vcgTy
prog = prog3
```

```
phi-prog = map-of2 (convert-pt (prog-kil (fst prog)))
wfS = wfS
wf-jvm-prog-phiS = λ Φ (P::jvm-prog). wf-jvm-prog-phiS Φ P
initjob = initjob (fst prog) Start "main"
nxtjob = nxtjob (fst prog) Start "main"
printjob = λ job. printjob (fst prog) Start "main" job
parsejob = parsejob
```

### 0.0.23 Verification Condition

```
ML {* use EX-Sum.ML *}
ML {* wf prog; *}
ML {* val vc3 = opt (vcg prog); *}
ML {* val pvc = (Pretty.str-of (Sign.pretty-term (sign-of (the-context ()) (term-of-expr vc3)))) ; *}
```

— now we trasfer the ML result back to Isabelle

```
ML-setup {*  

val t = term-of-expr vc3;  

val T = fastype-of t;  

Context.>> (fn thy => thy |>  

  Theory.add-consts-i [(vc3, T, NoSyn)] |>  

  (fst o PureThy.add-defs-i false [((vc3-def, Logic.mk-equals (Const (EX-MainSum.vc3, T), t)), [])]));  

*}  

  

lemma vcg-prog3-holds:  

prog3 ⊢ vc3  

apply (simp only: provable-def vc3-def)  

apply (safe intro!: And0 AndI')
```

```
apply (simp only: deriv-def, rule ballI, clarsimp simp del: evalE-evalEs.simps simp add: sem-simps)
done
```

```
end
```