

1 Jinja VCG

theory $JBC\text{-}VCG = JBC\text{-}SafetyLogic + VCG\text{-}Upgrades$:

1.1 Control Flow Graph

```

consts  $xcpt\text{-}cond::jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow expr$ 
defs  $xcpt\text{-}cond\text{-}def:$ 
 $xcpt\text{-}cond \Pi X p \equiv (case (cmd \Pi p) of None \Rightarrow TT | Some c \Rightarrow (case c$ 
 $of New C \Rightarrow Eq (NewA 0) (Cn Null)$ 
 $| Getfield F C \Rightarrow Eq (St 0) (Cn Null)$ 
 $| Putfield F C \Rightarrow Eq (St 1) (Cn Null)$ 
 $| Checkcast C \Rightarrow And ((Neg (Eq (St 0) (Cn Null))) \# (map (\lambda Cl. Neg (Ty (St 0) (Class Cl))) [Cl \in$ 
 $(classnames (fst \Pi)). (fst \Pi) \vdash Cl \preceq^* C]))$ 
 $| Invoke M n \Rightarrow Eq (St n) (Cn Null)$ 
 $| Throw \Rightarrow (if X = NullPointer then Neg (And [Neg (Eq (St 0) (Cn Null)), Neg (Ty (St 0) (Class$ 
 $X))]) else Ty (St 0) (Class X))$ 
 $| - \Rightarrow TT ))$ 

```

```

consts
 $succsInvoke::(jbc\text{-}prog \times mname \times nat \times pos) \Rightarrow (pos \times expr) list$ 
recdef  $succsInvoke \{\}$ 
 $succsInvoke (\Pi, M, n, p) = (case anF \Pi p of None \Rightarrow []$ 
 $| Some A \Rightarrow concat (map (\lambda tp. (case tp of Void \Rightarrow []$ 
 $| Boolean \Rightarrow []$ 
 $| Integer \Rightarrow []$ 
 $| NT \Rightarrow []$ 
 $| Class X \Rightarrow [((fst (method (fst \Pi) X M), M, 0), And [Neg (xcpt\text{-}cond \Pi$ 
 $NullPointer p), Ty (St n) (Class X)])) (extractTy (A, St n))]))$ 

```

```

constdefs
 $succsNormal::jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow (pos \times expr) list$ 
 $succsNormal \Pi p \equiv (case cmd \Pi p of None \Rightarrow []$ 
 $| Some c \Rightarrow (case c$ 
 $of Load n \Rightarrow [(incA p, TT)]$ 
 $| Store n \Rightarrow [(incA p, TT)]$ 
 $| Push v \Rightarrow [(incA p, TT)]$ 
 $| New C \Rightarrow [(incA p, Neg (xcpt\text{-}cond \Pi OutOfMemory p))]$ 
 $| Getfield F C \Rightarrow [(incA p, Neg (xcpt\text{-}cond \Pi NullPointer p))]$ 
 $| Putfield F C \Rightarrow [(incA p, Neg (xcpt\text{-}cond \Pi NullPointer p))]$ 
 $| Checkcast C \Rightarrow [(incA p, Neg (xcpt\text{-}cond \Pi ClassCast p))]$ 
 $| Invoke M n \Rightarrow succsInvoke (\Pi, M, n, p)$ 
 $| Return \Rightarrow map (\lambda p'. (incA p', Call (And [aF \Pi p', Pos p'])))) (callers \Pi p)$ 
 $| Pop \Rightarrow [(incA p, TT)]$ 

```

```

|  $IBin\ no \Rightarrow [(incA\ p, TT)]$ 
|  $Goto\ t \Rightarrow let\ (C,M,n)=p\ in\ [((C,M,nat\ ((int\ n)+t)),TT)]$ 
|  $CmpEq \Rightarrow [(incA\ p, TT)]$ 
|  $IfIntCmp\ ro\ t \Rightarrow let\ (C,M,n)=p\ in\ [((C,M,nat\ ((int\ n)+t)), Rel\ (St\ 1)\ ro\ (St\ 0)),$ 
    $(incA\ p,\ Neg\ (Rel\ (St\ 1)\ ro\ (St\ 0)))]$ 
|  $IfFalse\ t \Rightarrow let\ (C,M,n)=p\ in\ [((C,M,nat\ ((int\ n)+t)), Eq\ (St\ 0)\ (Cn\ (Bool\ False))),$ 
    $(incA\ p,\ Neg\ (Eq\ (St\ 0)\ (Cn\ (Bool\ False))))]$ 
|  $Throw \Rightarrow []$ 
))

```

consts

match-ex-table-e :: 'm prog ⇒ cname ⇒ pc ⇒ ex-table ⇒ ex-entry option

primrec

```

match-ex-table-e P C pc [] = None
match-ex-table-e P C pc (e#es) = (if matches-ex-entry P C pc e
   then Some e
   else match-ex-table-e P C pc es)

```

lemma *match-ex-table-e-sim:*

(match-ex-table-e P C pc et = Some e) ⇒ (match-ex-table P C pc et = Some (snd (snd (snd e))))

proof (*induct et*)

assume *A*: *(match-ex-table-e P C pc [] = Some e)*

from *A*

show *(match-ex-table P C pc [] = Some (snd (snd (snd e))))*

by *simp*

next

fix *a et*

assume *hyp*: *(match-ex-table-e P C pc et = Some e) ⇒ (match-ex-table P C pc et = Some (snd (snd (snd e))))*

assume *A*: *match-ex-table-e P C pc (a # et) = Some e*

show *match-ex-table P C pc (a#et) = Some (snd (snd (snd e)))*

proof (*cases matches-ex-entry P C pc a*)

case *True*

from *True A* **show** *?thesis*

by *simp*

next

case *False*

from *False A hyp* **show** *?thesis*

by *simp*

qed

qed

lemma *match-ex-table-e-sim2*:

```

match-ex-table P C pc et = Some pc-h ==> (∃ e.(match-ex-table-e P C pc et = Some e) ∧ snd (snd e)) = pc-h
proof (induct et)
assume A: (match-ex-table P C pc [] = Some pc-h)
from A
show ∃ e. match-ex-table-e P C pc [] = Some e ∧ snd (snd (snd e)) = pc-h
by simp
next
fix a et
assume hyp: (match-ex-table P C pc et = Some pc-h) ==> (∃ e. match-ex-table-e P C pc et = Some e ∧ snd (snd (snd e)) = pc-h)
assume A: match-ex-table P C pc (a # et) = Some pc-h
show (∃ e. match-ex-table-e P C pc (a#et) = Some e ∧ snd (snd (snd e)) = pc-h)
proof (cases matches-ex-entry P C pc a)
case True
from True A show ?thesis
by simp
next
case False
from False A hyp show ?thesis
by simp
qed
qed

consts match-ex-table::'m prog ⇒ cname ⇒ pc ⇒ ex-table ⇒ pc option
defs match-ex-table-def [simp]:
match-ex-table P C pc et == (case (JVMExceptions.match-ex-table P C pc et) of None ⇒ None | Some h ⇒ Some (fst h))

```

```

consts
succsXpt::(jbc-prog × cname × pos list) ⇒ (pos × expr) list
recdef succsXpt measure (λ(Π,X,ps). length (domC Π) − (length ps))
succsXpt ((P,An),X,ps) = (case length (domC (P,An)) ≤ length ps
of True ⇒ map (λp. (p,TT)) (domC (P,An))
| False ⇒ (case ps of [] ⇒ map (λp. (p,TT)) (domC (P,An))
| p#pss ⇒ let (C,M,pc)=p; et = ex-table-of P C M; A=aF (P,An) p
in (case match-ex-table-e P X pc et
of None ⇒ concat (map (λp'. succsXpt ((P,An),X,p'#ps)) (callers (P,An) p))
| Some e ⇒ (let (f,t,X',pc',d) = e
in [((C,M,pc'),And ((if pss=[] then [] else [Catch X' A,Catch X (Pos p)]))@
[xcpt-cond (P,An) X (last ps)])))))))

```

constdefs

```

succsExcept::jbc-prog ⇒ pos ⇒ (pos × expr) list
succsExcept Π p ≡ (case cmd Π p of None ⇒ []
| Some c ⇒ (case c
of Load n ⇒ []
| Store n ⇒ []
| Push v ⇒ []
| New C ⇒ succsXpt (Π,OutOfMemory,[p])
| Getfield F C ⇒ succsXpt (Π,NullPointer,[p])
| Putfield F C ⇒ succsXpt (Π,NullPointer,[p])
| Checkcast C ⇒ succsXpt (Π,ClassCast,[p])
| Invoke M n ⇒ succsXpt (Π,NullPointer,[p])
| Return ⇒ []
| Pop ⇒ []
| IBin no ⇒ []
| Goto t ⇒ []
| CmpEq ⇒ []
| IfIntCmp ro t ⇒ []
| IfFalse t ⇒ []
| Throw ⇒ succsXpt (Π,NullPointer,[p]) @ (case anF Π p of None ⇒ []
| Some a ⇒ concat (map (λ tp. (case tp of Void ⇒ [] | Boolean ⇒ [] | Integer ⇒ [] | NT ⇒ []
[] | Class X ⇒ succsXpt (Π,X,[p]))) (extractTy (a,St 0))))
))
)

```

constdefs addPos::pos ⇒ ((pos × expr) list) ⇒ (pos × expr) list
addPos p es ≡ (map (λ (p',B). (p',And [Pos p,B])) es)

constdefs succsF::jbc-prog ⇒ pos ⇒ (pos × expr) list
succsF Π p ≡ addPos p (succsNormal Π p @ succsExcept Π p)

1.2 Static Semantics

constdefs

handlesEx'::jvm-prog ⇒ pos ⇒ cname list
handlesEx' P p ≡ remdups' (concat (map (λ(C,(S,Fs,Ms)).
concat (map (λ(M,Ts,T,(mxs,mxl,is,et)).
concat (map (λ(b,e,cn,h,d).
if p=(C,M,h)
then [cn] else [])
et))
Ms)))
P))

constdefs

$\text{handlesEx} :: \text{jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{pos} \Rightarrow \text{cname option}$
 $\text{handlesEx } P p \equiv (\text{case } \text{handlesEx}' P p \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow \text{None}$
 $\quad \quad \quad | \text{ cn}\#\text{cns} \Rightarrow \text{Some cn})$

constdefs
 $\text{catchesEx} :: \text{jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{cname} \Rightarrow \text{pos} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $\text{catchesEx } P X p \equiv (\text{let } (C, M, pc) = p; m = \text{match-ex-table } P X pc \text{ (ex-table-of } P C M)$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{in } (\text{case } m \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} | \text{ Some } pc' \Rightarrow \text{True}))$

constdefs $\text{sys-xcpt-of} :: \text{instr} \Rightarrow \text{cname}$
 $\text{sys-xcpt-of } i \equiv (\text{case } i \text{ of } \text{New } C \Rightarrow \text{OutOfMemory}$
 $\quad \quad \quad | \text{ Getfield } F C \Rightarrow \text{NullPointer}$
 $\quad \quad \quad | \text{ Putfield } F C \Rightarrow \text{NullPointer}$
 $\quad \quad \quad | \text{ Checkcast } C \Rightarrow \text{ClassCast}$
 $\quad \quad \quad | \text{ Invoke } M n \Rightarrow \text{NullPointer}$
 $\quad \quad \quad | \text{ Throw } \Rightarrow \text{NullPointer}$
 $\quad \quad \quad | \text{ - } \Rightarrow \text{Exception})$

constdefs

$wpF::jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow expr \Rightarrow expr$

$wpF \Pi p p' Q \equiv$

(let $pm=map (\lambda q. (Pos q, if q=p' then Pos p else FF)) (getPosEx Q)$) in
 (case cmd Πp of None $\Rightarrow FF$ | Some ins \Rightarrow
 (case handlesEx (fst Π) p' of None \Rightarrow (case ins
 of Load $n \Rightarrow substE (pm @ (map (\lambda k. (St k, if k=0 then Rg n
 else St (k - 1))) (stkIds Q))) Q$

| Store $n \Rightarrow substE (pm @ ((Rg n, St 0) #
 map (\lambda k. (St k, St (k+1))) (stkIds Q))) Q$

| Push $v \Rightarrow substE (pm @ (map (\lambda k. (St k, if k=0 then Cn v
 else St (k - 1))) (stkIds Q))) Q$

| New Cl \Rightarrow (let $em=(pm @ (map (\lambda k. (St k, if k=0 then NewA 0
 else St (k - 1))) (stkIds Q)) @
 (map (\lambda n. (NewA n, NewA (n+1))) (getNewEx Q)))$;
 $gfe'=foldl (\lambda mp hex. (case hex
 of GF F C ex \Rightarrow (let $ex'=substE mp ex$
 in $(Gf F C ex, IF ex' \doteq NewA 0
 THEN Cn (the ((snd (blank (fst \Pi) Cl))(F, C)))
 ELSE Gf F C ex'))$$

| TY ex ty \Rightarrow (let $ex'=substE mp ex$
 in $(Ty ex ty, IF ex' \doteq NewA 0
 THEN Cn (Bool ((Class Cl) = ty))
 ELSE Ty ex' ty)) #mp$
 $em (remdups' (getHeapEx Q))$
 in $substE gfe' Q$)

| Getfield F C $\Rightarrow substE (pm @ [(St 0, Gf F C (St 0))]) Q$

| Putfield F C \Rightarrow (let $em=pm @ (map (\lambda k. (St k, St (k+2))) (stkIds Q));$
 $gfe'=foldl (\lambda mp ex. let ex'=substE mp ex$
 in $(Gf F C ex, IF (ex' \doteq St 1)
 THEN St 0 ELSE Gf F C ex') #mp$
 $em (remdups' (getGfEx F C Q))$
 in $substE gfe' Q$)

| Checkcast C $\Rightarrow substE pm Q$

| Invoke M n $\Rightarrow substE (pm @ (FrNr, FrNr \oplus (Cn (Intg 1))) #
 (map (\lambda k. (Rg k, if k \leq n then St (n-k)
 else (if k \leq n + fst (snd (snd (snd (snd (method (fst \Pi) (fst p') M))))
 then Cn arb$

```

else none))) (rgIds Q))@
(map (λk. (St k,none)) (stkIds Q))@
(map (λex. (Call ex,ex)) (getCallEx Q))@
(concat (map (λ(cn',ex').
(if catchesEx (fst Π) cn' p
then [(Catch cn' ex',ex')]
else [(Catch cn' ex',
IF (FrNr ≡ Cn (Intg 1)) THEN ex'
ELSE Catch cn' ex')]]) (getCatchEx Q)))) Q

| Return ⇒ let (C,M,pc)=p; (P,An)=Π; n = length (fst (snd (method P C M)))
in substE (pm@(FrNr,FrNr ⊕ (Cn (Intg 1))))#
(map (λk. (St k,if 1 ≤ k then Call (St (n+k))
else St 0)) (stkIds Q))@
(map (λk. (Rg k,Call (Rg k))) (rgIds Q))@
(map (λex. (Call ex,Call (Call ex))) (getCallEx Q))@
(map (λ(cn',ex'). (Catch cn' ex',Call (Catch cn' ex')))
(getCatchEx Q))) Q

| Pop ⇒ substE (pm@(map (λk. (St k,St (k+1)))) (stkIds Q))) Q

| IBin no ⇒ substE (pm@(map (λk. (St k,if k=0 then Num (St 1) no (St 0) else (St (k+1)))) (stkIds Q))) Q

| Goto t ⇒ substE pm Q
| CmpEq ⇒ substE (pm@(map (λk. (St k,if k=0 then (St 0) ≡ (St 1)
else (St (k+1)))) (stkIds Q))) Q
| IfIntCmp ro t ⇒ substE (pm@(map (λk. (St k,St (k+2)))) (stkIds Q))) Q
| IfFalse t ⇒ substE (pm@(map (λk. (St k,St (k+1)))) (stkIds Q))) Q
| Throw ⇒ FF )

| Some cn ⇒ (let mp=pm@(map (λk. (St k,if 1≤k then none
else (if (ins = Throw)
then (IF St 0 ≡ Cn (Null)
THEN (Cn (Addr (addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer)))
ELSE St 0)
else Cn (Addr (addr-of-sys-xcpt (sys-xcpt-of ins)))))) (stkIds Q))@
(let (C,M,pc)=p; (C',M',pc')=p'; (P,An)=Π in
(if match-ex-table P cn pc (ex-table-of P C M) = Some pc' then []
else
let rgm=map (λk. (Rg k,Catch cn (Rg k))) (rgIds Q);
om =map (λex. (Call ex,Catch cn (Call ex))) (getCallEx Q);
cm= map (λ(cn',ex'). (Catch cn' ex', Catch cn (Catch cn' ex')))
(getCatchEx Q)
in (FrNr,Catch cn FrNr) # rgm @ om @ cm)))

```

in substE mp Q))))

1.3 Welformedness

constdefs $pTy::jvm\text{-}prog \Rightarrow ty_P$
 $pTy P \equiv (\text{map-of}\varnothing (\text{convert-pt} (\text{prog-kil } P)))$

consts

$\text{throwChk}::jbc\text{-}prog \times \text{instr option} \times \text{expr option} \times \text{pos} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

— Throw instructions require a type annotation (disjunction of Ty (St 0) (Class X)). The successor function uses these to find proper handlers. The initial instruction must not be Throw. In typesafe programs (no exception on top of initial stack) this cannot happen anyway.

defs $\text{throwChk-def}:$

$\text{throwChk} \equiv \lambda (\Pi, ins, an, p). (\text{case } ins \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True}$
 $| \text{Some } c \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{case } c \text{ of } \text{Throw} \Rightarrow (\text{case } an \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False}$
 $| \text{Some } A \Rightarrow (\text{if } p = \text{ipc } \Pi \text{ then } \text{False} \text{ else}$
 $(\text{case } \text{extractTy} (A, \text{St 0}) \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow \text{False}$
 $| \text{ty}\#\text{tys} \Rightarrow (\text{list-all} (\lambda tp.$
 $(\text{case } tp \text{ of } \text{Void} \Rightarrow \text{False} | \text{Boolean} \Rightarrow \text{False}$
 $| \text{Integer} \Rightarrow \text{False} | \text{NT} \Rightarrow \text{False}$
 $| \text{Class } X \Rightarrow \text{True})) (\text{ty}\#\text{tys}))))$
 $| - \Rightarrow \text{True}))$

lemma $\text{throwChk-Throw-A [simp]}:$

$\text{throwChk} (\Pi, \text{Some Throw}, \text{Some } A, p) =$
 $(\text{if } p = \text{ipc } \Pi \text{ then } \text{False} \text{ else}$
 $(\text{case } \text{extractTy} (A, \text{St 0}) \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow \text{False}$
 $| \text{ty}\#\text{tys} \Rightarrow (\text{list-all} (\lambda tp. (\text{case } tp \text{ of } \text{Void} \Rightarrow \text{False} | \text{Boolean} \Rightarrow \text{False}$
 $| \text{Integer} \Rightarrow \text{False} | \text{NT} \Rightarrow \text{False}$
 $| \text{Class } X \Rightarrow \text{True})) (\text{ty}\#\text{tys}))))$

lemma $\text{throwChk-Throw-None [simp]}:$

$\text{throwChk} (\Pi, \text{Some Throw}, \text{None}, p) = \text{False}$

lemma $\text{throwChk-oth-None [simp]}:$

$\text{ins} \neq \text{Some Throw} \implies \text{throwChk} (\Pi, \text{ins}, \text{None}, p) = \text{True}$

lemma $\text{throwChk-oth-Some [simp]}:$

$\text{ins} \neq \text{Some Throw} \implies \text{throwChk} (\Pi, \text{ins}, \text{Some } A, p) = \text{True}$

consts

$\text{invokeChk}::jbc\text{-}prog \times (\text{instr option}) \times (\text{expr option}) \times \text{pos} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

— Invoke instructions require a type annotation (disjunction of $\text{Ty}(\text{St } n)$ (Class X)). The successor function uses these to determine the potential method entry points. In addition the first instruction must not be Invoke. In typesafe programs this cannot happen anyway (no object reference on top of initial stack). We also forbid recursive calls of the main method ($M = \text{fst}(\text{snd}(\text{ipc } \Pi))$). This ensures that the frame stack never becomes empty (A Return in method main stops execution).

defs *invokeChk-def*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{invokeChk} \equiv \lambda (\Pi, \text{ins}, \text{an}, p). & (\text{case ins of None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } c \Rightarrow \text{case } c \\ \text{of Invoke } M n \Rightarrow (\text{case an of None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \\ \mid \text{Some } A \Rightarrow (\text{if } p = \text{ipc } \Pi \text{ then False else} \\ \quad (\text{case extractTy } (A, \text{St } n) \text{ of []} \Rightarrow \text{False} \\ \quad \mid \text{ty\#tys} \Rightarrow (\text{list-all } (\lambda \text{tp}. (\text{case tp of Void} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Boolean} \Rightarrow \text{False} \\ \quad \mid \text{Integer} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{NT} \Rightarrow \text{True} \\ \quad \mid \text{Class } X \Rightarrow \text{has-method } (\text{fst } \Pi) X M)) (\text{ty\#tys})) \wedge M \\ \neq \text{fst}(\text{snd}(\text{ipc } \Pi))) \\ \mid \text{-} \Rightarrow \text{True}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *invokeChk-Invoke-A [simp]*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{invokeChk } (\Pi, \text{Some } (\text{Invoke } M n), \text{Some } A, p) = & (\text{if } p = \text{ipc } \Pi \text{ then False else} \\ (\text{case extractTy } (A, \text{St } n) \text{ of []} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{ty\#tys} \Rightarrow (\text{list-all } (\lambda \text{tp}. (\text{case tp of Void} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Boolean} \\ \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Integer} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{NT} \Rightarrow \text{True} \\ \mid \text{Class } X \Rightarrow \text{has-method } (\text{fst } \Pi) X M)) (\text{ty\#tys})) \wedge M \neq \text{fst}(\text{snd}(\text{ipc } \Pi))) \\ \mid \text{-} \Rightarrow \text{True}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *invokeChk-Invoke-None [simp]*:

$$\text{invokeChk } (\Pi, \text{Some } (\text{Invoke } M n), \text{None}, p) = \text{False}$$

lemma *invokeChk-oth-None [simp]*:

$$(\forall M n. \text{ins} \neq \text{Some } (\text{Invoke } M n)) \implies \text{invokeChk } (\Pi, \text{ins}, \text{None}, p) = \text{True}$$

lemma *invokeChk-oth-Some [simp]*:

$$(\forall M n. \text{ins} \neq \text{Some } (\text{Invoke } M n)) \implies \text{invokeChk } (\Pi, \text{ins}, \text{Some } A, p) = \text{True}$$

consts

$$\text{checkPos} :: \text{jbc-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{pos list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

— checkPos ensures that targets of backward jumps ($\text{idx}(\text{domC } \Pi) p' \mathrel{:=} \text{idx}(\text{domC } \Pi) p$) are annotated. This ensures termination of the generic VCG. In addition all successors must be in the code range ($p' \in \text{mem}(\text{domC } \Pi)$) and successors from the normal successor function must not be entry points of handlers. Throw and Invoke instructions are checked for the extra requirements described above.

primrec

$$\begin{aligned} \text{checkPos } \Pi [] &= \text{True} \\ \text{checkPos } \Pi (p \# ps) &= (\text{if } (\text{let } \text{scsn} = \text{map fst}(\text{succsNormal } \Pi p); \\ &\quad \text{scse} = \text{map fst}(\text{succsExcept } \Pi p) \\ &\quad \text{in } \text{list-all } (\lambda p'. ((\text{idx } (\text{domC } \Pi) p') \leq \text{idx } (\text{domC } \Pi) p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \longrightarrow anF \Pi p' \neq None \wedge p' \text{ mem } (\text{domC } \Pi) \wedge \\
& (p' \text{ mem } scsn \longrightarrow \text{handlesEx } (\text{fst } \Pi) p' = None \wedge p' \neq ipc \Pi) \\
& (scsn @ scse) \wedge (\text{set scse} \subset \text{set } (\text{domC } \Pi)) \wedge \text{throwChk } (\Pi, \text{cmd } \Pi p, anF \Pi \\
& p, p) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{invokeChk } (\Pi, \text{cmd } \Pi p, anF \Pi p, p) \\
& \text{then } (\text{checkPos } \Pi ps) \text{ else False}
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *checkPos-split*:

checkPos Π ($l1 @ l2$) = ((*checkPos* Π $l1$) \wedge (*checkPos* Π $l2$))

constdefs *checkExTables* :: jbc-prog \Rightarrow bool

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{checkExTables } \Pi \equiv & \text{list-all } (\lambda x. x) (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(C, (S, Fs, Ms)). \\
& \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(M, Ts, T, (mxs, mxl, is, et)). \\
& \quad (\text{map } (\lambda(b, e, cn, h, d). d = 0 \wedge \\
& \quad (C, M, h) \in \text{set } (\text{domC } \Pi) \wedge \\
& \text{remdups}' (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(b, e, cn, h', d). \text{if } h' = h \text{ then } [cn] \text{ else } [] \text{ et})) = [cn] \text{ et})) \\
& \quad Ms)) \\
& (\text{fst } \Pi)))
\end{aligned}$$

— Programs are wellformed iff (1) all positions are wellformed (*checkPos*). That is - targets of backward jumps are annotated (enforces VCG termination). - Throw and Invoke instructions have type annotations (possibly inserted by the bytecode verifier). - the main method is not invoked (only automatically at start up). (2) all exception tables are wellformed. That is - remaining stack height d is 0 (no catch inside expressions). - the catching class is not Object. - handler entry points are within the code range. - handler entry points are distinct for each handler. (3) all classes and methods have distinct names. (4) the programs contains all system classes (object, exceptions). (5) the intial position is in the code range. (6) the main method has no arguments (type safety theorems from BV require this).

constdefs

$$\begin{aligned}
wf :: & jbc\text{-prog} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \\
wf \Pi \equiv & \text{checkPos } \Pi (\text{domC } \Pi) \wedge \\
& \text{checkExTables } \Pi \wedge \\
& \text{distinct } (\text{classnames } (\text{fst } \Pi)) \wedge \\
& \text{distinct } (\text{methodnames } (\text{fst } \Pi)) \wedge \\
& (\exists cdl. \text{fst } \Pi = (\text{SystemClasses } @ cdl)) \wedge (ipc \Pi \in \text{set } (\text{domC } \Pi)) \\
& \wedge wf\text{-jvm-prog-phi } (pTy (\text{fst } \Pi)) (\text{fst } \Pi) \\
& \wedge \text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{method } (\text{fst } \Pi) (\text{fst } (ipc \Pi)) (\text{fst } (\text{snd } (ipc \Pi))))) = []
\end{aligned}$$

1.4 System Invariants

The following functions yield formulas that hold for all states reachable in wellformed programs

1.5 Position information

```
constdefs inv-Pos::jbc-prog  $\Rightarrow$  pos  $\Rightarrow$  expr
inv-Pos  $\Pi$  p  $\equiv$  Pos p
```

1.6 Frame Stack Size

```
constdefs inv-FrNr:: jbc-prog  $\Rightarrow$  pos  $\Rightarrow$  expr
inv-FrNr  $\Pi$   $\equiv$  ( $\lambda(C,M,pc)$ . if (let (C0,M0,pc0) = ipc  $\Pi$  in C=C0  $\wedge$  M=M0) then Eq FrNr (Cn (Intg 1)) else (Rel (Cn (Intg 1)) Less FrNr))
```

1.7 System Exception Types

```
constdefs inv-ExTys::jbc-prog  $\Rightarrow$  pos  $\Rightarrow$  expr
inv-ExTys  $\Pi$  p  $\equiv$  And [Ty (Cn (Addr (addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer))) (Class NullPointer),
                           Ty (Cn (Addr (addr-of-sys-xcpt ClassCast))) (Class ClassCast),
                           Ty (Cn (Addr (addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory))) (Class OutOfMemory)]
```

1.8 Bytecode Verifier Types

```
constdefs conv-st::jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  tyi  $\Rightarrow$  expr
conv-st P  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda(st,rt)$ . (let ex-st = map ( $\lambda n$ . STy P (St n) (st!n)) (upt 0 (length st));
                           ex-rt = map ( $\lambda n$ . (case rt!n of Err  $\Rightarrow$  not-none (Rg n)
                                         | OK tp  $\Rightarrow$  STy P (Rg n) tp)) (upt 0 (length rt))
                           in (And (ex-st @ ex-rt))))
```

```
constdefs annotate-types::jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ((cname  $\times$  mname)  $\times$  (tyi' list)) list  $\Rightarrow$  (pos  $\Rightarrow$  expr)
annotate-types P pt  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda(C,M,pc)$ .
  (if (C,M) mem (methodnames P) then (case pt ? (C,M) of None  $\Rightarrow$  FF
    | Some mt  $\Rightarrow$  (if pc < length mt then (case mt ! pc of None  $\Rightarrow$  FF | Some tyi  $\Rightarrow$  conv-st P tyi
      else TT))
    else TT))
```

```
constdefs inv-Ty::jbc-prog  $\Rightarrow$  pos  $\Rightarrow$  expr
inv-Ty  $\Pi$  p  $\equiv$  annotate-types (fst  $\Pi$ ) (convert-pt (prog-kil (fst  $\Pi$ ))) p
```

1.9 Instantiating the VCG

```
constdefs
vcg-jbc :: jbc-prog  $\Rightarrow$  expr
vcg-jbc prg  $\equiv$  vcG And Imp FF ipc initF safeF succsF wpF domC domA anF prg
```

1.10 Upgrade the VCG

Here we upgrade the VCG by instantiating it with successor functions that add invariants to

branch conditions.**constdefs** $upg::(jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow expr) \Rightarrow (jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow (pos \times expr) list)$
 $\Rightarrow (jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow (pos \times expr) list)$
 $upg\ iF\ sucF \equiv (\lambda \Pi p. map\ (\lambda (p',B). (p', And [B, iF \Pi p])) (sucF \Pi p))$

1.11 Upgrade Frame Stack Size

constdefs $succsFrNrF:: jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow (pos \times expr) list$
 $succsFrNrF \equiv upg\ inv\text{-}FrNr\ succsF$

constdefs

$vcgFrNr :: jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow expr$
 $vcgFrNr\ prg \equiv vcG\ And\ Imp\ FF\ ipc\ initF\ safeF\ succsFrNrF\ wpF\ domC\ domA\ anF\ prg$

1.12 Upgrade System Exception Types.

constdefs $succsExTysF:: jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow (pos \times expr) list$
 $succsExTysF \equiv upg\ inv\text{-}ExTys\ succsFrNrF$

constdefs

$vcgExTys :: jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow expr$
 $vcgExTys\ prg \equiv vcG\ And\ Imp\ FF\ ipc\ initF\ safeF\ succsExTysF\ wpF\ domC\ domA\ anF\ prg$

1.13 Upgrade Types

constdefs $succsTyF:: jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow (pos \times expr) list$
 $succsTyF \equiv upg\ inv\text{-}Ty\ succsExTysF$

constdefs

$vcgTy :: jbc\text{-}prog \Rightarrow expr$
 $vcgTy\ \Pi \equiv (vcG\ And\ Imp\ FF\ ipc\ initF\ safeF\ succsTyF\ wpF\ domC\ domA\ anF\ \Pi)$

end