

1 VCG Completeness

theory *VCG-Completeness* = *VCG*:

— In *completeVCG* we show that verification conditions are tautologies. If the safety logic is complete, this means they are provable.

locale *completeVCG* = *VCG* +

fixes *Starters*::'program \Rightarrow ('pos \times 'mem) set

defines *Starters* $\Pi \equiv \{s. \Pi, s \models \text{init}F \ \Pi \vee (\exists A. \text{an}F \ \Pi \ (fst \ s) = \text{Some } A \wedge \Pi, s \models A \wedge \Pi, s \models \text{safe}F \ \Pi \ (fst \ s))\}$

fixes *branch* :: 'program \Rightarrow (('pos \times 'mem) \times ('pos \times 'mem)) set

defines *branch* $\Pi \equiv \{(s, s'). \exists B. (fst \ s', B) \in \text{set} \ (\text{succs}F \ \Pi \ (fst \ s)) \wedge \Pi, s \models B\}$

fixes *effS_B*:: 'program \Rightarrow (('pos \times 'mem) \times ('pos \times 'mem)) set

defines *effS_B* $\Pi \equiv (\text{eff}S \ \Pi) \cap (\text{branch} \ \Pi)$

fixes *strongAn*:: 'program \Rightarrow bool

defines *strongAn* $\Pi \equiv (\forall s \in \text{ReachableFrom} \ (\text{eff}S_B \ \Pi) \ (\text{Starters} \ \Pi). \Pi, s \models \text{a}F \ \Pi \ (fst \ s) \wedge \Pi, s \models \text{safe}F \ \Pi \ (fst \ s))$

assumes *semImpI*: $\llbracket wf \ \Pi; \Pi, s \models f \implies \Pi, s \models f' \rrbracket \implies \Pi, s \models f \ \text{c}\Rightarrow \ f'$

assumes *succsFprogress*: $\llbracket wf \ \Pi; \Pi, (p, m) \models B; (p', B) \in \text{set} \ (\text{succs}F \ \Pi \ p') \rrbracket \implies p = p' \wedge (\exists m'. ((p, m), (p', m')) \in (\text{eff}S \ \Pi))$

assumes *completeWpF*: $\llbracket wf \ \Pi; (p', B) \in \text{set} \ (\text{succs}F \ \Pi \ p); \Pi, (p, m) \models B; ((p, m), (p', m')) \in (\text{eff}S \ \Pi); \Pi, (p', m') \models Q \rrbracket \implies \Pi, (p, m) \models \text{wp}F \ \Pi \ p \ p' \ Q$

assumes *ipc-domC*:

$wf \ \Pi \implies \text{ipc} \ \Pi \in \text{set} \ (\text{dom}C \ \Pi)$

assumes *succsF-domC*:

$\llbracket wf \ \Pi; (p', B) \in \text{set} \ (\text{succs}F \ \Pi \ p) \rrbracket \implies (p \in \text{set} \ (\text{dom}C \ \Pi)) \wedge (p' \in \text{set} \ (\text{dom}C \ \Pi))$

lemma (in *completeVCG*) *ReachableFrom-isafeF-aux*: $\bigwedge S. \llbracket wf \ \Pi; \text{strongAn} \ \Pi \rrbracket \implies$

$(\forall s \in \text{ReachableFrom} \ (\text{eff}S_B \ \Pi) \ (\text{Starters} \ \Pi).$

$(\exists V. \text{noAnn} \ V \ (\text{an}F \ \Pi) \wedge \text{set} \ V \cup \text{set} \ S = \text{set} \ (\text{dom}C \ \Pi) \wedge \text{set} \ V \cap \text{set} \ S = \{\}) \wedge (V \neq \{\} \longrightarrow V @ [fst \ s] \in (\text{paths} \ (\text{succs}F \ \Pi))))$

$\longrightarrow ((fst\ s \in\ set\ (domC\ \Pi)) \longrightarrow (\Pi, s \models isafeF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s))))$

— S contains positions not yet visited by isafeF. It decreases as isafeF expands.

theorem (in completeVCG) *ReachableFrom-isafeF*:

$\llbracket wf\ \Pi; strongAn\ \Pi \rrbracket \implies (\forall s \in ReachableFrom\ (effS_B\ \Pi)\ (Starters\ \Pi). (fst\ s \in\ set\ (domC\ \Pi)) \longrightarrow \Pi, s \models isafeF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s))$

theorem (in completeVCG) *vcg-tautology*:

assumes *wf-Pi*: $wf\ \Pi$

assumes *strongAn*: $strongAn\ \Pi$

shows $\Pi, s \models vcg\ \Pi$

theorem (in completeVCG) *vcg-completeness*:

$\llbracket wf\ \Pi; strongAn\ \Pi; (\forall s. \Pi, s \models vcg\ \Pi) \longrightarrow \Pi \vdash vcg\ \Pi \rrbracket \implies \Pi \vdash vcg\ \Pi$

lemma *ReachableFrom-trans*:

$\llbracket a \in ReachableFrom\ R\ S; b \in ReachableFrom\ R\ \{a\} \rrbracket \implies b \in ReachableFrom\ R\ S$

apply (*simp add: ReachableFrom-conv*)

apply *fastsimp*

done

consts *ReachableFrom-k*:: $('a \times 'a)\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow (nat \times 'a)\ set$

inductive *ReachableFrom-k* $R\ S$

intros

init: $a \in S \implies (0, a) \in ReachableFrom-k\ R\ S$

step: $(k, a) \in ReachableFrom-k\ R\ S \implies (a, b) \in R \implies (k+1, b) \in ReachableFrom-k\ R\ S$

constdefs *ReachableFromIn*:: $('a \times 'a)\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set$

$ReachableFromIn\ R\ S\ k \equiv \{a. (k, a) \in ReachableFrom-k\ R\ S\}$

lemma *k-init*: $a \in S \implies a \in ReachableFromIn\ R\ S\ 0$

apply (*simp add: ReachableFromIn-def*)

apply (*rule init*)

apply *simp*

done

lemma *k-step*: $a \in ReachableFromIn\ R\ S\ k \implies (a, b) \in R \implies b \in ReachableFromIn\ R\ S\ (k+1)$

apply (*simp add: ReachableFromIn-def*)

apply (*drule step*)

apply *simp*

apply simp
done

consts

ispecFe:: ('program × 'pos × nat × ('program ⇒ 'pos ⇒ 'form option) × 'form × ('form list ⇒ 'form) × ('form ⇒ 'form ⇒ 'form) × ('program ⇒ 'pos ⇒ 'form) × ('program ⇒ 'pos ⇒ ('pos × 'form) list) × ('program ⇒ 'pos ⇒ 'pos ⇒ 'form ⇒ 'form)) ⇒ 'form

recdef

ispecFe measure (λ a. (fst (snd (snd a))))
ispecFe (Π,p,k,specF,TrueF,Conj,Impl,safeF,succsF,wpF) =
 (case specF Π p
 of Some Q ⇒ Q
 | None ⇒ (case k
 of 0 ⇒ TrueF
 | Suc k' ⇒ Conj (map (λ(p',B). Impl B (wpF Π p p' (Conj [safeF Π p', ispecFe (Π,p',k',specF,TrueF,Conj,Impl,safeF,succsF,wpF)]))) (succsF Π p))))

locale *exprSL* = *completeVCG* +

fixes *finals*:: 'program ⇒ 'pos set

defines

finals Π == {p. p ∈ set (domC Π) ∧ ¬ (∃ p' B. (p',B) ∈ set (succsF Π p))}

fixes *specF*:: 'program ⇒ 'pos ⇒ 'form option

fixes *ispecF*:: 'program ⇒ 'pos ⇒ nat ⇒ 'form

defines *ispecF* ≡ λΠ p k. *ispecFe* (Π,p,k,specF,TrueF,Conj,Impl,safeF,succsF,wpF)

assumes *correctWpF*:

[[wf Π; (p',B) ∈ set (succsF Π p); Π,(p,m) ⊨ B; ((p,m),(p',m')) ∈ (effS Π);
 Π,(p,m) ⊨ wpF Π p p' Q]]=> Π,(p',m') ⊨ Q

assumes *specF-pos*: ∀ p. p ∈ {ipc Π} ∪ (finals Π) = (specF Π p ≠ None)

assumes *specF-ipc*: specF Π (ipc Π) = Some (initF Π)

assumes *ipc-not-succsF*: wf Π => ∀ p. ipc Π ∉ set (map fst (succsF Π p))

lemma (in *exprSL*) *ispecFe-def*:

ispecFe (Π, p, k, specF, TrueF, Conj, Impl, safeF, succsF, wpF) =
 (case specF Π p of
 None ⇒ case k of 0 ⇒ TrueF
 | Suc k' ⇒
 Conj (map (λ(p', B). Impl B (wpF Π p p' (Conj [safeF Π p', ispecFe (Π, p', k', specF,

TrueF, Conj, Impl, safeF, succsF, wpF]]))
(succsF Π p))

| *Some Q \Rightarrow Q*
apply (*subst ispecFe.simps*)
apply *simp*
done

lemma (*in exprSL*) *ispecF-def*:

\wedge *p. ispecF Π p k = (case specF Π p*
of Some Q \Rightarrow Q
| None \Rightarrow (case k of 0 \Rightarrow \lceil True \rfloor
| Suc k' \Rightarrow \wedge (map (λ (p',B). B \Rightarrow (wpF Π p p' (\wedge [safeF Π p', ispecF Π p'
k']))) (succsF Π p)))

apply (*induct-tac k*)
apply (*simp add: ispecF-def*)
apply (*case-tac specF Π p*)
apply *simp*

apply *simp*

apply (*case-tac specF Π p*)
apply (*simp add: ispecF-def*)

apply (*simp add: ispecF-def*)
done

lemma *ReachableFromIn-elim*s:

$\llbracket s \in \text{ReachableFromIn } R \ S \ k; \llbracket k = 0; s \in S \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P;$
 $\wedge s' \ k'. \llbracket k = \text{Suc } k'; s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } R \ S \ k'; (s', s) \in R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P$

apply (*simp add: ReachableFromIn-def*)
apply (*erule ReachableFrom-k.elims*)
apply *simp*

apply *simp*
done

lemma *ReachableFrom-ReachableFromIn*:

$s \in \text{ReachableFrom } R \ S \Longrightarrow \exists k. s \in \text{ReachableFromIn } R \ S \ k$

apply (*erule ReachableFrom.induct*)
apply (*drule-tac R=R in k-init*)
apply *fastsimp*

apply (*erule exE*)
apply (*rule-tac x=k+1 in exI*)
apply (*rule k-step*)

apply *simp*
apply *simp*
done

lemma *ReachableFromIn-ReachableFrom*:
 $s \in \text{ReachableFromIn } R \ S \ k \implies s \in \text{ReachableFrom } R \ S$
apply (*simp add: ReachableFromIn-def*)
apply (*erule ReachableFrom-k.induct*)
apply (*rule ReachableFrom.init*)
apply *simp*

apply (*rule ReachableFrom.step*)
apply *simp*
apply *simp*
done

lemma *k-lstep*:
 $\llbracket s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } R \ S \ k \rrbracket \implies k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists s \ s''. s \in S \wedge (s, s'') \in R \wedge s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } R \ \{s''\} \ (k - 1))$
apply (*simp add: ReachableFromIn-def*)
apply (*erule ReachableFrom-k.induct*)
apply *simp*
apply (*rule impI | erule conjE*)+
apply (*case-tac k*)
apply *simp*
apply (*erule ReachableFrom-k.elims*)
apply *simp*
apply (*rule-tac x=aa in exI*)
apply *simp*
apply (*rule-tac x=b in exI*)
apply *simp*
apply (*rule ReachableFrom-k.init*)
apply *simp*

apply *simp*

apply *simp*
apply (*erule conjE | erule exE*)+
apply (*rule-tac x=s in exI*)
apply *simp*

apply (*rule-tac x=s'' in exI*)
apply *simp*
apply (*drule-tac k=nat and a=a in ReachableFrom-k.step*)

apply simp

apply simp
done

theorem (in *exprSL*) *strongAn-exist*:

assumes *wf-Pi*: wf Π

and *bounded-diam*: $\forall s s'. s' \in \text{ReachableFrom } (\text{effS}_B \Pi) \{s\} \longrightarrow (\exists r. r \leq d \wedge s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{effS}_B \Pi) \{s\} r)$

and *isSafe*: $\forall s \in \text{ReachableFrom } (\text{effS}_B \Pi) \{s. \Pi, s \models \text{initF } \Pi\}. \Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s)$

and *correct-specF*: $\forall s \in \text{ReachableFrom } (\text{effS}_B \Pi) \{s. \Pi, s \models \text{initF } \Pi\}. (\forall Q. \text{specF } \Pi (fst s) = \text{Some } Q \longrightarrow \Pi, s \models Q)$

and *anF-ispecF*: $\forall p. \text{anF } \Pi p = \text{Some } (\text{ispecF } \Pi p d)$

shows *strongAn* Π

proof –

have *ispecF-safeF-ReachableFromIn*: $\bigwedge k s. \Pi, s \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst s) k \implies \Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s) \implies \text{fst } s \neq \text{ipc } \Pi \implies (\forall l. l \leq k \longrightarrow (\forall s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{effS}_B \Pi) \{s\} l. \Pi, s' \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst s') (k-l) \wedge \Pi, s' \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s')))$ (is $\bigwedge z. \text{PROP } ?P z$)

proof –

fix z

show *PROP* $?P z$

proof (*induct* z rule: *nat-less-induct*, *intro allI impI ballI*)

fix $k s l s'$

assume *hyp*: $\forall k' < k. \forall s. \Pi, s \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst s) k' \longrightarrow \Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s) \longrightarrow \text{fst } s \neq \text{ipc } \Pi \longrightarrow (\forall l \leq k'. (\forall s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{effS}_B \Pi) \{s\} l. \Pi, s' \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst s') (k' - l) \wedge \Pi, s' \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s')))$

assume *s-ispecF-k*: $\Pi, s \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst s) k$

assume *s-safeF*: $\Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s)$

assume *s-not-ipc*: $\text{fst } s \neq \text{ipc } \Pi$

assume *l-k*: $l \leq k$

assume *s'-ReachableFromIn-l*: $s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{effS}_B \Pi) \{s\} l$

show $\Pi, s' \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst s') (k - l) \wedge \Pi, s' \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s')$ (is $?s'$ -*ispecF*)

proof (*cases* l)

case 0

from 0 *s'-ReachableFromIn-l*

have *s-eq-s'*: $s = s'$

apply –

apply (*erule* *ReachableFromIn-elim*)

apply *simp*

apply *simp*

done

from 0 *s-eq-s'* *s-ispecF-k* *s-safeF* **show** $?s'$ -*ispecF*

by *simp*

```

next
  case (Suc l')

  from Suc have l'-l: l = Suc l'
    by simp

  from l'-l s'-ReachableFromIn-l
  obtain s'' where s'-ReachableFromIn-l': s' ∈ ReachableFromIn (effSB Π) {s''} l'
    and s-s''-effSB: (s,s'') ∈ (effSB Π)
    apply –
    apply (drule k-lstep)
    apply fastsimp
    done

  from l'-l l-k have l'-k: l' < k
    by arith

  from l'-k
  obtain k' where k'-k: k = Suc k'
    apply –
    apply (case-tac k::nat)
    by arith

  from k'-k l'-k have l'-k': l' ≤ k'
    by arith

  from k'-k l'-l have k-l-k'-l': k - l = (k' - l')
    by arith

  obtain p m where s-def: s = (p,m)
    by fastsimp

  obtain p' m' where s'-def: s' = (p',m')
    by fastsimp

  obtain p'' m'' where s''-def: s'' = (p'',m'')
    by fastsimp

  from s-s''-effSB s-def s''-def
  obtain B where p''-B-succsF-p: (p'',B) ∈ set (succsF Π p)
  and s-B: Π,s ⊨ B and s-s''-effS: (s,s'') ∈ effS Π
    apply –
    apply (simp add: effSB-def branch-def)
    apply fastsimp
    done

```

```

from  $p''$ -B-succsF-p
have  $p$ -not-final:  $p \notin \text{finals } \Pi$ 
  by (fastsimp simp add: finals-def)

from s-not-ipc s-def p-not-final specF-pos
have  $p$ -specF:  $\text{specF } \Pi p = \text{None}$ 
  by fastsimp

from s-ispecF-k  $k'$ -k have  $s''$ -safeF-ispecF:  $\Pi, s'' \models \bigwedge [ \text{safeF } \Pi p'', \text{ispecF } \Pi p'' k ]$ 
proof –

  from s-ispecF-k p-specF s-def  $k'$ -k  $p''$ -B-succsF-p  $s''$ -def
  have s-B-Imp-wpF:  $\Pi, s \models B \Rightarrow wpF \Pi p p'' (\bigwedge [ \text{safeF } \Pi p'', \text{ispecF } \Pi p'' k ])$ 
    apply –
    apply (drule-tac  $P = \lambda \text{ ispecF}. \Pi, s \models \text{ispecF}$  in subst[OF ispecF-def])
    apply (simp add: semConj)
    apply (erule-tac  $x = (p'', B)$  in ballE)
    apply (simp add: split-def)
    apply simp
    done

  from s-B s-B-Imp-wpF
  have s-wpF:  $\Pi, s \models wpF \Pi p p'' (\bigwedge [ \text{safeF } \Pi p'', \text{ispecF } \Pi p'' k ])$ 
    apply –
    apply (drule semImpE)
    apply simp
    done

  from wf-Pi s-wpF s-B  $p''$ -B-succsF-p s-def  $s''$ -def s-s''-effS s-wpF
  show ?thesis
    apply –
    apply (simp only:)
    apply (rule correctWpF)
    apply simp+
    done
  qed

from  $s''$ -safeF-ispecF  $s''$ -def
have  $s''$ -ispecF:  $\Pi, s'' \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (\text{fst } s'') k'$ 
  by (simp add: semConj)

from  $s''$ -safeF-ispecF  $s''$ -def
have  $s''$ -safeF:  $\Pi, s'' \models \text{safeF } \Pi (\text{fst } s'')$ 
  by (simp add: semConj)

```


from p'' - B - $\text{succs}F$ - p wf - Pi $\text{ipc-not-succs}F$ [**where** $\Pi = \Pi$] **have** p'' - not-ipc : $p'' \neq \text{ipc } \Pi$
apply –
apply simp
apply ($\text{erule-tac } x=p$ **in** $\text{all}E$)
apply clarsimp
apply ($\text{simp add: in-set-conv-decomp}$)
apply ($\text{erule exE} \mid \text{erule conjE}$)
apply simp
done

from $k'-k$ **have** k' - less-k : $k' < k$
by arith

from hyp s'' - $\text{ispec}F$ s'' - $\text{safe}F$ k' - less-k p'' - not-ipc $l'-k'$ s' - ReachableFromIn - l' s'' - def
have s' - $\text{ispec}F$ - $k'-l'$ - $\text{safe}F$: $\Pi, s' \models \text{ispec}F \Pi (fst s') (k' - l') \wedge \Pi, s' \models \text{safe}F \Pi (fst s')$
by fastsimp

from s' - $\text{ispec}F$ - $k'-l'$ - $\text{safe}F$ $k-l-k'-l'$
show $?s'$ - $\text{ispec}F$
by simp

qed

qed

qed

have $\text{ReachableFromIn-ispec}F$: $\bigwedge k s. (\forall l. l \leq k \longrightarrow (\forall s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{eff}S_B \Pi) \{s\} l. \Pi, s' \models \text{ispec}F \Pi (fst s') (k-l))) \implies \text{fst } s \neq \text{ipc } \Pi \implies \Pi, s \models \text{ispec}F \Pi (fst s) k$

proof –

fix $k s$

assume l - k - $\text{ReachableFromIn-ispec}F$: $\forall l \leq k. \forall s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{eff}S_B \Pi) \{s\} l. \Pi, s' \models \text{ispec}F \Pi (fst s') (k-l)$

assume s - not-ipc : $\text{fst } s \neq \text{ipc } \Pi$

have s - ReachableFromIn-0 : $s \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{eff}S_B \Pi) \{s\} 0$

apply –

apply ($\text{rule } k\text{-init}$)

by simp

from l - k - $\text{ReachableFromIn-ispec}F$ s - ReachableFromIn-0

show $\Pi, s \models \text{ispec}F \Pi (fst s) k$

by fastsimp

qed

have $\text{ispec}F$ - d - inc : $\bigwedge s. \Pi, s \models \text{safe}F \Pi (fst s) \implies \Pi, s \models \text{ispec}F \Pi (fst s) d \implies \Pi, s \models \text{ispec}F \Pi (fst s) (d+1)$

proof –

```

fix s
assume s-safeF:  $\Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst\ s)$ 
assume s-ispecF-d:  $\Pi, s \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst\ s)\ d$ 
show  $\Pi, s \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst\ s)\ (d+1)$ 
proof (cases specF  $\Pi (fst\ s)$ )
  case (Some Q)
    from Some s-ispecF-d show ?thesis
      by (simp add: ispecF-def)
next
  case None

obtain p m where s-def:  $s = (p, m)$ 
  by fastsimp

from None s-def specF-pos[where  $\Pi = \Pi$ ]
have p-not-ipc:  $p \neq ipc\ \Pi$ 
  apply -
  apply (erule-tac  $x=p$  in allE)
  apply simp
  done

from None s-def specF-pos[where  $\Pi = \Pi$ ]
have p-not-final:  $p \notin \text{finals } \Pi$ 
  apply -
  apply (erule-tac  $x=p$  in allE)
  apply simp
  done

from s-ispecF-d s-safeF s-def p-not-ipc
have ReachableFromIn-ispecF-d:  $\forall l \leq d. \forall s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{effS}_B\ \Pi)\ \{s\}\ l. \Pi, s' \models \text{ispecF}$ 
 $\Pi (fst\ s')\ (d - l)$ 
 $\wedge \Pi, s' \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst\ s')$ 
  apply -
  apply (drule ispecF-safeF-ReachableFromIn)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  apply simp
  done

have ReachableFromIn-ispecF-inc-d:
 $\wedge k\ l\ s'. k = (d + 1) - l \longrightarrow l \leq d + 1 \longrightarrow s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{effS}_B\ \Pi)\ \{s\}\ l \longrightarrow$ 
 $\Pi, s' \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst\ s')\ ((d + 1) - l) \wedge \Pi, s' \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst\ s')\ (\text{is } \wedge k. \text{PROP } ?P\ k)$ 
  proof -
  fix z
  show PROP ?P z

```

proof (*induct z rule: nat-less-induct, intro impI*)
fix $k\ l\ s'$
assume $Hyp: \forall k' < k. \forall l' s'. k' = d + 1 - l' \longrightarrow$
 $l' \leq d + 1 \longrightarrow s' \in ReachableFromIn\ (effS_B\ \Pi)\ \{s\}\ l' \longrightarrow \Pi, s' \models ispecF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s')\ (d + 1$
 $- l') \wedge \Pi, s' \models safeF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s')$
assume $k-d-l: k = (d + 1) - l$
assume $l-d: l \leq (d + 1)$
assume $s'-ReachableFromIn-l: s' \in ReachableFromIn\ (effS_B\ \Pi)\ \{s\}\ l$
show $\Pi, s' \models ispecF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s')\ (d + 1 - l) \wedge \Pi, s' \models safeF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s')$ (**is** $?s'-ispecF$)
proof (*cases k*)
case 0
from $0\ k-d-l$ **have** $l-gr-d: l > d$
by *arith*

from $s'-ReachableFromIn-l$
have $s'-ReachableFrom-s: s' \in ReachableFrom\ (effS_B\ \Pi)\ \{s\}$
by (*rule ReachableFromIn-ReachableFrom*)

from *bounded-diam s'-ReachableFrom-s*
obtain r **where** $r-le-d: r \leq d$
and $s'-ReachableFromIn-r: s' \in ReachableFromIn\ (effS_B\ \Pi)\ \{s\}\ r$
by *fastsimp*

from *ReachableFromIn-ispecF-d r-le-d s'-ReachableFromIn-r*
have $s'-ispecF-d-r-safeF: \Pi, s' \models ispecF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s')\ (d - r) \wedge \Pi, s' \models safeF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s')$
by *fastsimp*

show $?s'-ispecF$
proof (*cases specF $\Pi\ (fst\ s')$*)
case (*Some Q*)
from *Some s'-ispecF-d-r-safeF*
show $?s'-ispecF$
by (*simp add: ispecF-def*)
next
case *None*
from *None 0 k-d-l[THEN sym] s'-ispecF-d-r-safeF* **show** $?s'-ispecF$
by (*simp add: ispecF-def semTrue*)
qed
next
case (*Suc k'*)
from *Suc* **have** $k'-k: k = Suc\ k'$
by *simp*

show $?s'-ispecF$
proof (*cases l*)

```

case 0
from 0 s'-ReachableFromIn-l
have s'-eq-s:  $s' = s$ 
  apply –
  apply (erule ReachableFromIn-elims)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  done

obtain  $p' m'$  where s'-def:  $s' = (p', m')$ 
  by fastsimp

from wf-Pi
  have s'-B-wpF:  $\forall (p'', B'') \in \text{set} (\text{succsF } \Pi p')$ .  $\Pi, s' \models B'' \Leftrightarrow \text{wpF } \Pi p' p'' (\bigwedge$ 
  [safeF  $\Pi p''$ , ispecF  $\Pi p'' k'$ ])
  proof (intro ballI, simp add: split-paired-all s'-def, intro semImpI, assumption)
    fix  $p'' B''$ 
    assume p''-B''-succsF-p':  $(p'', B'') \in \text{set} (\text{succsF } \Pi p')$ 
    assume s'-B'':  $\Pi, (p', m') \models B''$ 

    from wf-Pi p''-B''-succsF-p' s'-B'' s'-def
    obtain  $m''$  where s'-s''-effS:  $((p', m'), (p'', m'')) \in \text{effS } \Pi$ 
      apply –
      apply (drule succsFprogress)
      apply simp+
      apply (erule exE)
      apply fastsimp
      done

    obtain  $s''$  where s''-def:  $s'' = (p'', m'')$ 
      by fastsimp

    have s''-ispecF-safeF:  $\Pi, (p'', m'') \models \text{ispecF } \Pi p'' k' \wedge \Pi, (p'', m'') \models \text{safeF } \Pi p''$ 
      proof –

      from s'-s''-effS s'-def s''-def s'-B'' p''-B''-succsF-p'
      have s'-s''-effSB:  $(s', s'') \in \text{effS}_B \Pi$ 
        by (fastsimp simp add: effSB-def branch-def)

      from 0 s'-eq-s s'-ReachableFromIn-l s'-s''-effSB
      have s''-ReachableFromIn-1:  $s'' \in \text{ReachableFromIn} (\text{effS}_B \Pi) \{s\} 1$ 
        apply –
        apply (drule k-step)
        apply simp
        apply simp

```

p''

```
done

from  $k'-k$  have  $k'-less-k: k' < k$ 
  by arith

from Hyp 0  $k-d-l[THEN\ sym]$   $k'-less-k\ k'-k\ s''-ReachableFromIn-1\ s''-def$ 
show  $s''-ispecF-safeF: \Pi, (p'', m'') \models ispecF\ \Pi\ p''\ k' \wedge \Pi, (p'', m'') \models safeF\ \Pi$ 

  apply –
  apply (erule-tac  $x=k'$  in allE)
  apply (drule mp, assumption)
  apply (erule-tac  $x=1$  in allE)
  apply (erule-tac  $x=s''$  in allE)
  by fastsimp
qed

from  $s''-ispecF-safeF\ s''-def$ 
have  $s''-safeF-ispecF: \Pi, (p'', m'') \models \bigwedge [safeF\ \Pi\ p'', ispecF\ \Pi\ p''\ k']$ 
  by (simp add: semConj)

from wf-Pi  $p''-B''-succsF-p'\ s'-B''\ s-def\ s'-def\ s''-safeF-ispecF\ s'-s''-effS$ 
show  $\Pi, (p', m') \models wpF\ \Pi\ p'\ p'' \bigwedge [safeF\ \Pi\ p'', ispecF\ \Pi\ p''\ k']$ 
  apply –
  apply (rule completeWpF)
  apply simp+
done
qed

from 0  $s'-ReachableFromIn-l\ ReachableFromIn-ispecF-d$ 
have  $s'-safeF: \Pi, s' \models safeF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s')$ 
  by fastsimp

from None  $s'-eq-s\ k-d-l[THEN\ sym]$   $k'-k\ s'-B-wpF\ s-def\ s'-def\ s'-safeF$ 
show  $?s'-ispecF$ 
  apply –
  apply (subst ispecF-def)
  apply (simp add: semConj split-def)
done

next

case (Suc  $l'$ )

from Suc have  $l'-l: l = Suc\ l'$ 
  by simp
```

from $k'-k$ $k-d-l$ **have** $l-le-d: l \leq d$
by *arith*

from *ReachableFromIn-ispecF-d l-le-d s'-ReachableFromIn-l*
have $s'-ispecF-d-safeF: \Pi, s' \models ispecF \Pi (fst s') (d - l) \wedge \Pi, s' \models safeF \Pi (fst s')$
by *fastsimp*

show $?s'-ispecF$
proof (*cases specF \Pi (fst s')*)
case (*Some Q*)
from *Some s'-ispecF-d-safeF* **show** $?s'-ispecF$
by (*simp add: ispecF-def*)
next
case *None*

obtain $p' m'$ **where** $s'-def: s' = (p', m')$
by *fastsimp*

from *wf-Pi*
have $s'-B-wpF: \forall (p'', B'') \in set (succsF \Pi p'). \Pi, s' \models B'' \Rightarrow wpF \Pi p' p''$
 $(\bigwedge [safeF \Pi p'', ispecF \Pi p'' k'])$
proof (*intro ballI, simp add: split-paired-all s'-def, intro semImpI, assumption*)
fix $p'' B''$
assume $p''-B''-succsF-p': (p'', B'') \in set (succsF \Pi p')$
assume $s'-B'': \Pi, (p', m') \models B''$

from *wf-Pi p''-B''-succsF-p' s'-B'' s'-def*
obtain m'' **where** $s'-s''-effS: ((p', m'), (p'', m'')) \in effS \Pi$
apply –
apply (*drule succsFprogress*)
apply *simp+*
apply (*erule exE*)
apply *fastsimp*
done

obtain s'' **where** $s''-def: s'' = (p'', m'')$
by *fastsimp*

have $s''-ispecF-safeF: \Pi, (p'', m'') \models ispecF \Pi p'' k' \wedge \Pi, (p'', m'') \models safeF \Pi p''$
proof –

from $s'-s''-effS s'-def s''-def s'-B'' p''-B''-succsF-p'$
have $s'-s''-effSB: (s', s'') \in effS_B \Pi$
by (*fastsimp simp add: effS_B-def branch-def*)

have s' -ReachableFromIn-0: $s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn} (\text{effSB } \Pi) \{s'\} 0$
apply –
apply (*rule k-init*)
by *simp*

from s' -ReachableFromIn-l s' - s'' -effSB
have s'' -ReachableFromIn-inc-l: $s'' \in \text{ReachableFromIn} (\text{effSB } \Pi) \{s\} (l+1)$
apply –
apply (*rule k-step*)
apply *simp*
apply *simp*
done

from k' -k **have** k' -less-k: $k' < k$
by *arith*

from k' -k k -d-l **have** k' -d-l: $k' = d - l$
by *arith*

from *Hyp* k -d-l[*THEN sym*] k' -less-k k' -k k' -d-l l -le-d s'' -ReachableFromIn-inc-l
show s'' -ispecF-safeF: $\Pi, (p'', m'') \models \text{ispecF } \Pi p'' k' \wedge \Pi, (p'', m'') \models \text{safeF } \Pi$

s'' -def
 p''

apply –
apply (*erule-tac x=k' in allE*)
apply (*erule mp, assumption*)
apply (*erule-tac x=l + 1 in allE*)
apply (*erule-tac x=s'' in allE*)
apply *simp*
done

qed

from s'' -ispecF-safeF s'' -def
have s'' -safeF-ispecF: $\Pi, (p'', m'') \models \bigwedge [\text{safeF } \Pi p'', \text{ispecF } \Pi p'' k']$
by (*simp add: semConj*)

from *wf-Pi* p'' - B'' -succsF- p' s' - B'' s -def s' -def s'' -safeF-ispecF s' - s'' -effS
show $\Pi, (p', m') \models \text{wpF } \Pi p' p'' \bigwedge [\text{safeF } \Pi p'', \text{ispecF } \Pi p'' k']$
apply –
apply (*rule completeWpF*)
apply *simp+*
done

qed

from *None* s' -def s' - B -wpF k -d-l[*THEN sym*] k' -k s' -ispecF-d-safeF

```

    show ?s'-ispecF
      apply –
      apply (subst ispecF-def)
      apply (simp add: semConj split-def)
      done
  qed

```

```

    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

```

have s-ReachableFromIn-0: s ∈ ReachableFromIn (effSB Π) {s} 0
  apply –
  apply (rule k-init)
  by simp

```

```

from s-ReachableFromIn-0 ReachableFromIn-ispecF-inc-d[where k=d + 1 and l=0]
show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
qed

```

```

have correct-Starters:  $\bigwedge s. s \in \text{Starters } \Pi \implies \Pi, s \models aF \Pi (fst\ s) \wedge \Pi, s \models safeF \Pi (fst\ s)$ 
proof –
  fix s
  assume s-Starters: s ∈ Starters Π
  show  $\Pi, s \models aF \Pi (fst\ s) \wedge \Pi, s \models safeF \Pi (fst\ s)$ 
  proof (cases  $\Pi, s \models initF \Pi$ )
  case True
  from True have s-initF:  $\Pi, s \models initF \Pi$ 
    by assumption

```

```

from s-initF have s-ReachableFrom: s ∈ ReachableFrom (effSB Π) {s,  $\Pi, s \models initF \Pi$ }
  apply –
  apply (rule ReachableFrom.init)
  by simp

```

```

from s-ReachableFrom isSafe
have s-safeF:  $\Pi, s \models safeF \Pi (fst\ s)$ 
  by fastsimp

```



```

from wf-Pi s-initF have s-ipc: fst s = (ipc Π)
  by (rule initF-ipc)

from s-ipc specF-pos
obtain Q where Q-def: specF Π (fst s) = Some Q
  by fastsimp

from Q-def anF-ispecF
have aF-Q: aF Π (fst s) = Q
  apply –
  apply (simp add: aF-def ispecF-def)
  done

from s-ReachableFrom correct-specF Q-def aF-Q
have s-aF: Π, s ⊨ aF Π (fst s)
  by fastsimp

from s-safeF s-aF
show Π, s ⊨ aF Π (fst s) ∧ Π, s ⊨ safeF Π (fst s)
  by simp
next
  case False
  from False have s-not-initF: ¬ Π, s ⊨ initF Π
    by assumption
  from s-not-initF s-Starters
  obtain A where A-def: anF Π (fst s) = Some A and s-A: Π, s ⊨ A and s-safeF: Π, s ⊨ safeF
  Π (fst s)
    apply –
    apply (simp add: Starters-def)
    apply fastsimp
    done

from A-def s-A s-safeF
show Π, s ⊨ aF Π (fst s) ∧ Π, s ⊨ safeF Π (fst s)
  by (simp add: aF-def)
qed
qed

from anF-ispecF
have in-Starters: ∧ s. Π, s ⊨ aF Π (fst s) ⇒ Π, s ⊨ safeF Π (fst s) ⇒ s ∈ Starters Π
  by (simp add: aF-def Starters-def)

```

have $G1: \forall s \in \text{ReachableFrom} (\text{effS}_B \Pi) (\text{Starters } \Pi). \Pi, s \models aF \Pi (fst s) \wedge \Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s)$
(is ?G1)
proof –
have $G2: \bigwedge r. \forall s \in \text{ReachableFromIn} (\text{effS}_B \Pi) (\text{Starters } \Pi) r. \Pi, s \models aF \Pi (fst s) \wedge \Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s)$
(is $\bigwedge r. PROP ?P r$)
proof –
fix r
show $PROP ?P r$
proof (*induct r rule: nat-less-induct*)
case ($1 n$)
assume $Hyp: \forall m < n. \forall s \in \text{ReachableFromIn} (\text{effS}_B \Pi) (\text{Starters } \Pi) m. \Pi, s \models aF \Pi (fst s) \wedge \Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s)$
show $\forall s \in \text{ReachableFromIn} (\text{effS}_B \Pi) (\text{Starters } \Pi) n. \Pi, s \models aF \Pi (fst s) \wedge \Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s)$
proof (*intro ballI impI*)
fix s
assume $s\text{-ReachableFromIn-}n: s \in \text{ReachableFromIn} (\text{effS}_B \Pi) (\text{Starters } \Pi) n$
from $s\text{-ReachableFromIn-}n$
have $s\text{-ReachableFrom}: s \in \text{ReachableFrom} (\text{effS}_B \Pi) (\text{Starters } \Pi)$
by (*rule ReachableFromIn-ReachableFrom*)

show $\Pi, s \models aF \Pi (fst s) \wedge \Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s)$ **(is ?G2')**
proof (*rule ReachableFromIn-elim[OF s-ReachableFromIn-n]*)
assume $n-0: n = 0$
assume $s\text{-Starters}: s \in \text{Starters } \Pi$
show $?G2'$
by (*rule correct-Starters*)
next
fix $s' k'$
assume $n-k': n = \text{Suc } k'$
assume $s'\text{-ReachableFromIn-}k': s' \in \text{ReachableFromIn} (\text{effS}_B \Pi) (\text{Starters } \Pi) k'$
assume $s'\text{-s-effSB}: (s', s) \in \text{effS}_B \Pi$
show $?G2'$
proof –

from $Hyp\ n-k'\ s'\text{-ReachableFromIn-}k'$
have $s'\text{-aF-safeF}: \Pi, s' \models aF \Pi (fst s') \wedge \Pi, s' \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst s')$
by *fastsimp*

from $s'\text{-aF-safeF}$ **have** $s'\text{-Starters}: s' \in \text{Starters } \Pi$
apply –
apply (*rule in-Starters*)
apply *simp*
apply *simp*
done

```

from  $s'-s\text{-effSB}$ 
obtain  $B$  where  $s'-s\text{-succsF}: (fst\ s, B) \in set\ (succsF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s'))$  and  $s'-B: \Pi, s' \models$ 
 $B$  and  $s'-s\text{-effS}: (s', s) \in effS\ \Pi$ 
  apply  $-$ 
  apply ( $simp\ add: effS_B\text{-def}\ branch\text{-def}$ )
  apply  $fastsimp$ 
  done

from  $s'-s\text{-succsF}$ 
have  $s'\text{-not-final}: fst\ s' \notin finals\ \Pi$ 
  apply  $-$ 
  apply ( $simp\ add: finals\text{-def}$ )
  apply  $fastsimp$ 
  done

from  $s'\text{-aF-safeF}\ anF\text{-ispecF}$ 
have  $s'\text{-ispecF}: \Pi, s' \models ispecF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s')\ (d+1)$ 
  apply  $-$ 
  apply ( $rule\ ispecF\text{-d-inc}$ )
  apply  $simp$ 
  apply ( $simp\ add: aF\text{-def}$ )
  done

show  $?G2'$ 
proof ( $cases\ fst\ s' = ipc\ \Pi$ )
  case  $False$ 
    from  $False\ s'\text{-not-final}\ specF\text{-pos}$ 
    have  $s'\text{-specF-None}: specF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s') = None$ 
      by  $fastsimp$ 

    from  $s'\text{-ispecF}\ s'\text{-specF-None}\ s'\text{-succsF}$ 
    have  $s'\text{-wp-ispecF}: \Pi, s' \models B \Rightarrow wpF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s')\ (fst\ s)\ (\bigwedge_y [safeF\ \Pi\ (fst\ s), ispecF$ 
 $\Pi\ (fst\ s)\ d])$ 
      apply  $-$ 
      apply ( $drule\ tac\ P=\lambda\ ispecF.\ \Pi, s' \models ispecF$  in  $subst[OF\ ispecF\text{-def}]$ )
      apply ( $simp\ add: semConj$ )
      apply ( $erule\ tac\ x=(fst\ s, B)$  in  $ballE$ )
      apply  $simp$ 

      apply  $simp$ 
      done

    obtain  $p\ m$  where  $s\text{-def}: s = (p, m)$ 
      by  $fastsimp$ 

    obtain  $p'\ m'$  where  $s'\text{-def}: s' = (p', m')$ 

```

```

by fastsimp

from wf-Pi s'-s-effS s'-B s'-s-succsF s'-wp-ispecF s-def s'-def
have s-safeF-ispecF:  $\Pi, s \models \bigwedge d [safeF \ \Pi \ (fst \ s), \ ispecF \ \Pi \ (fst \ s) \ d]$ 
  apply –
  apply (simp only: fst-conv snd-conv)
  apply (drule semImpE)
  apply (rule correctWpF)
  apply simp+
  done

from s-safeF-ispecF anF-ispecF show ?G2'
  apply –
  apply (simp add: aF-def semConj)
  done

next

  case True
  from True have s'-ipc: fst s' = ipc  $\Pi$ 
    by assumption

  from s'-ipc specF-ipc
  have specF-s': specF  $\Pi$  (fst s') = Some (initF  $\Pi$ )
    by simp

  from specF-s' s'-ispecF
  have s'-initF:  $\Pi, s' \models (initF \ \Pi)$ 
    by (simp add: ispecF-def)

  from s'-initF
  have s'-ReachableFrom: s'  $\in$  ReachableFrom (effSB  $\Pi$ ) {s.  $\Pi, s \models initF \ \Pi$ }
    apply –
    apply (rule ReachableFrom.init)
    apply simp
    done

  from s'-initF s'-s-effSB s'-ReachableFrom
  have s-ReachableFrom: s  $\in$  ReachableFrom (effSB  $\Pi$ ) {s.  $\Pi, s \models initF \ \Pi$ }
    apply –
    apply (rule ReachableFrom.step)
    apply simp
    apply simp
    done

```

```

from s-ReachableFrom isSafe
have s-safeF:  $\Pi, s \models \text{safeF } \Pi (fst\ s)$ 
  by fastsimp

have ispecF-trans:  $\bigwedge k. \forall l. k = d - l \longrightarrow (\forall s'' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{effS}_B\ \Pi)$ 
 $\{s\}\ l. \Pi, s'' \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst\ s'') (d - l))$  (is  $\bigwedge k. \text{PROP } ?P\ k$ )
  proof –
  fix k
  show PROP ?P k
    proof (induct k rule: nat-less-induct, intro allI impI ballI)
      fix n l s''
      assume hyp:  $\forall m < n. \forall l. m = d - l \longrightarrow (\forall s'' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{effS}_B$ 
 $\Pi)\ \{s\}\ l. \Pi, s'' \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst\ s'') (d - l))$ 
      assume n-d-l:  $n = d - l$ 
      assume s''-ReachableFromIn-l:  $s'' \in \text{ReachableFromIn } (\text{effS}_B\ \Pi)\ \{s\}\ l$ 
      show  $\Pi, s'' \models \text{ispecF } \Pi (fst\ s'') (d - l)$  (is ?G2'')
      proof (cases specF Π (fst s''))
        case (Some Q)
          from Some have ispecF-Q:  $\text{ispecF } \Pi (fst\ s'') (d - l) = Q$ 
            by (simp add: ispecF-def)

from s''-ReachableFromIn-l
have s''-ReachableFrom-s:  $s'' \in \text{ReachableFrom } (\text{effS}_B\ \Pi)\ \{s\}$ 
  by (rule ReachableFromIn-ReachableFrom)

from s-ReachableFrom s''-ReachableFrom-s
have s''-ReachableFrom:  $s'' \in \text{ReachableFrom } (\text{effS}_B\ \Pi)\ \{s, \Pi, s \models \text{initF}$ 
 $\Pi\}$ 

    apply (rule ReachableFrom-trans)
    done

from correct-specF s''-ReachableFrom Some ispecF-Q
show ?G2''
  by fastsimp
next
case None
from None have specF-s'':  $\text{specF } \Pi (fst\ s'') = \text{None}$ 
  by assumption

show ?G2''
  proof (cases d - l)
    case 0
      from specF-s'' 0 show ?G2''

```

```

apply –
apply (simp add:ispecF-def)
apply (rule semTrue)
done

next

case (Suc n')
have  $s''\text{-wpF-ispecF}: \Pi, s'' \models \bigwedge (map (\lambda(p',B). B \Leftrightarrow$ 
  (wpF  $\Pi (fst\ s'')$   $p' (\bigwedge [safeF\ \Pi\ p', ispecF\ \Pi\ p' ((d - l) - 1)]))$ 
(succsF  $\Pi (fst\ s'')$ ))
proof –
  have  $s''\text{-wpF-ispecF-p'-B}: \bigwedge p' B. (p', B) \in set (succsF\ \Pi (fst$ 
  (wpF  $\Pi (fst\ s'')$   $p' (\bigwedge [safeF\ \Pi\ p', ispecF\ \Pi\ p' ((d - l) - 1)]))$ 
proof (intro semImpI wf-Pi)
  fix  $p''' B'$ 
  assume  $p'''\text{-B'-succsF}: (p''', B') \in set (succsF\ \Pi (fst\ s''))$ 
  assume  $s''\text{-B'}: \Pi, s'' \models B'$ 
  show  $\Pi, s'' \models wpF\ \Pi (fst\ s'')\ p''' \bigwedge [safeF\ \Pi\ p''', ispecF\ \Pi$ 
  (wpF  $\Pi (fst\ s'')$   $p''' (\bigwedge [safeF\ \Pi\ p''', ispecF\ \Pi\ p''' (d - l - 1)]$  (is ?wpG)
  (effS  $\Pi$ )

  proof –
  obtain  $p''\ m''$  where  $s''\text{-def}: s'' = (p'', m'')$ 
  by fastsimp

  from wf-Pi s''-B' p'''-B'-succsF s''-def
  obtain  $m'''$  where  $s''\text{-s'''-effS}: ((p'', m''), (p''', m''')) \in$ 

  apply –
  apply (drule succsFprogress)
  apply simp+
  apply fastsimp
  done

  obtain  $s'''$  where  $s'''\text{-def}: s''' = (p''', m''')$ 
  by fastsimp

  from  $s''\text{-def}\ s'''\text{-def}\ s''\text{-s'''-effS}\ s''\text{-B'}\ p'''\text{-B'-succsF}$ 
  have  $s''\text{-s'''-effSB}: (s'', s''') \in (effS_B\ \Pi)$ 
  apply –
  apply (simp add: effS_B-def branch-def)
  apply fastsimp
  done

  from  $s''\text{-ReachableFromIn-l}\ s''\text{-s'''-effSB}$ 
  have  $s'''\text{-ReachableFromIn-l'}: s''' \in ReachableFromIn (effS_B$ 

```

$\Pi \{s\} (l+1)$

apply –
apply (*rule k-step*)
apply *simp+*
done

$\{s\}$

from *s'''-ReachableFromIn-l'*
have *s'''-ReachableFrom-s: s''' ∈ ReachableFrom (effS_B Π)*

by (*rule ReachableFromIn-ReachableFrom*)

$\{s. \Pi, s \models \text{initF } \Pi\}$

from *s'''-ReachableFrom-s s-ReachableFrom*
have *s'''-ReachableFrom: s''' ∈ ReachableFrom (effS_B Π)*

apply –
apply (*rule ReachableFrom-trans*)
apply *simp*
apply *simp*
done

from *s'''-ReachableFrom isSafe*
have *s'''-safeF: Π, s''' ⊨ safeF Π (fst s''')*
by *fastsimp*

from *hyp s'''-ReachableFromIn-l' Suc n-d-l*
have *s'''-ispecF: Π, s''' ⊨ ispecF Π (fst s''') (d - (l+1))*
apply –
apply (*erule-tac x=d - (l+1) in allE*)
apply *simp*
apply (*subgoal-tac d - Suc l < d - l*)
prefer 2
apply *arith*
apply *simp*
done

$p''' (d - l - 1)$

from *s'''-ispecF s'''-safeF s'''-def*
have *s'''-safeF-ispecF: Π, s''' ⊨ $\bigwedge [safeF \Pi p''', ispecF \Pi$*

apply –
apply (*simp add: semConj*)
done

$s''-def s'''-def$

from *wf-Pi p'''-B'-succsF s''-B' s''-s'''-effS s'''-safeF-ispecF*

```

    show ?wpG
    apply –
    apply (simp only: fst-conv snd-conv)
    apply (rule completeWpF)
    apply simp+
    done
  qed
qed

```

```

  from s''-wpF-ispecF-p'-B
  show ?thesis
  apply –
  apply (simp add: semConj split-def)
  done
  qed

```

```

  from Suc s''-wpF-ispecF specF-s''
  show ?G2''
  apply –
  apply (simp add: ispecF-def)
  done

```

```

  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

```

  have s-ReachableFromIn-s: s ∈ ReachableFromIn (effSB Π) {s} 0
  apply –
  apply (rule k-init)
  by simp

```

```

  from s-ReachableFromIn-s ispecF-trans[where k=d]
  have s-ispecF: Π, s ⊨ ispecF Π (fst s) d
  apply –
  apply (erule-tac x=0 in allE)
  apply simp
  done

```

```

  from s-safeF s-ispecF anF-ispecF
  show ?G2'
  by (simp add: aF-def)

```

qed

qed
 qed
 qed
 qed
 qed

show ?G1
proof (intro ballI impI)
fix s
assume s-ReachableFrom: s ∈ ReachableFrom (effS_B Π) (Starters Π)
show Π,s ⊨ aF Π (fst s) ∧ Π,s ⊨ safeF Π (fst s) (is ?G1')
proof –
from s-ReachableFrom
obtain r **where** s-ReachableFromIn: s ∈ ReachableFromIn (effS_B Π) (Starters Π) r
apply –
apply (drule ReachableFrom-ReachableFromIn)
apply fastsimp
done

from G2 s-ReachableFromIn
show ?G1'
by fastsimp
 qed
 qed
 qed

from G1 **show** strongAn Π
by (simp add: strongAn-def)
qed

end