

**theory** *EX-SmartCardPurse* = *SALOverflowFWInst* + *AuxBox*:

## 1 Smart Card Purse

This program adds a credit  $C$  to a balance  $B$  if the new balance  $B + C$  does not exceed an upper bound  $MAX$  (the highest number the safety policy accepts). To check this condition a procedure is called which sets a flag  $F$  to  $NAT\ 0$  if this condition is violated

— program variables

**constdefs**

$B :: nat$  — balance

$B \equiv 0$

$C :: nat$  — credit

$C \equiv 1$

$M :: nat$  — maximum

$M \equiv 2$

$P :: nat$  — return address storage

$P \equiv 3$

— initial values

**constdefs**

$b0 :: nat$

$b0 \equiv 4$  — maximal initial balance

$c0 :: nat$

$c0 \equiv 2$  — initial credit

**constdefs**

$prog :: SALprogram$

$prog \equiv$

$[(0, [(SET\ B\ b0,\ None),$   
 $(SET\ C\ c0,\ None),$   
 $(CALL\ P\ 1,\ Some\ (\lambda\ (pc,m,e).m\ B = NAT\ b0 \wedge m\ C = NAT\ c0)),$   
 $(ADD\ B\ C,\ Some\ (\lambda\ (pc,m,e).m\ B = NAT\ b0 \wedge$   
 $(\exists\ c. m\ C = NAT\ c \wedge$   
 $c = (if\ (b0 + c0 < MAX)$   
 $then\ c0$   
 $else\ 0)$   
 $))),$   
 $(HALT,\ None)$   
 $]$   
 $),$   
 $(1, [(SET\ M\ MAX,\ Some\ (\lambda\ (pc,m,e).m\ P = RA\ (incA\ (\overline{pc}\ e))$   
 $\wedge (\exists\ b. m\ B = NAT\ b)$   
 $\wedge (\exists\ c. m\ C = NAT\ c)$   
 $\wedge (\forall\ x. x \neq P \longrightarrow$   
 $m\ x = (\overline{m}\ e)\ x)$   
 $))),$

```

(SUB M C, None),
(JMPL B M 2, None),
(SET C 0, None),
(RET P, Some (λ (pc,m,e). (∀ x. x≠C ∧ x ≠ M ∧ x ≠ P
    → m x = (m̄ e) x)
  ∧ (∃ b c c'. m B = NAT b
    ∧ m C = NAT c
    ∧ (m̄ e) C = NAT c'
    ∧ c = (if (b + c' < MAX)
              then c'
              else 0)
    )))
]
)
]

```

## 1.1 The Verification Condition

Generate an ML file `vcgSAL.ML` that contains an executable VCG and the program source.

```

generate-code (vcgSAL.ML) [term-of]
  vcg = vcgSAL
  prg = prog

```

This is the output our ML program for the VCG yield for this example (copy and paste).

```

constdefs
  vc :: SALform
  vc ≡ (%s. (((%s. (((%s. ((pc = (0::nat), (0::nat))) & ((cs e) =
[[(0::nat), m]] & ((h e) = [] & (ALL X. ((m X) = ILLEGAL)))))) s) -->
((%s. (((%s. ((pc, m, e). ((Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (0::nat)))))) <= MAX)) s) & ((%s.
(((%s. (((%s. ((pc, m, e). (pc = (0::nat), (0::nat)))) s) --> ((%s. ((pc, m, e). ((%s.
(((%s. ((pc, m, e). ((Suc (Suc (0::nat))) <= MAX)) s) & ((%s. (((%s. (((%s. ((pc, m,
e). (pc = (0::nat), (Suc (0::nat)))))) s) --> ((%s. ((pc, m, e). ((%s. (((%s. True)
s) & ((%s. (((%s. ((pc, m, e). ((m B) =
(NAT b0)) & ((m C) = (NAT c0)))) s) & ((%s. True) s))) s))) ((0::nat),
(Suc (Suc (0::nat))))), (update m (Suc (0::nat)) (NAT (Suc (Suc (0::nat))))),
(h-update ((h e) @ [((0::nat), (Suc (0::nat)))] e))) s))) s) & ((%s. True) s)))
s))) (((0::nat), (Suc (0::nat))), (update m (0::nat) (NAT (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc
(0::nat))))))), (h-update ((h e) @ [((0::nat), (0::nat))]] e))) s))) s) & ((%s. True)
s))) s))) s) & ((%s. (((%s. (((%s. (((%s. (((%s. True) s) &
((%s. (((%s. ((pc, m, e). ((m B) = (NAT b0)) & ((m C) = (NAT c0)))) s) &
((%s. True) s))) s))) s) & ((%s. (((%s. ((pc, m, e). (pc = (0::nat), (Suc (Suc
(0::nat)))))) s) & ((%s. True) s))) s))) s) --> ((%s. ((pc, m, e). ((%s. (((%s. ((pc,
m, e). ((Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc
(Suc (0::nat)))))))))))))) <= MAX)) s) & ((%s. (((%s. ((pc, m, e). (((m P) = (RA
(incA (callpc e))) & ((EX b. ((m B) = (NAT b))) & ((EX c. ((m C) = (NAT

```

$c))) \& (ALL\ x.\ ((x \sim = P) \dashrightarrow$   
 $((m\ x) = (callmem\ e\ x))))))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ True\ s))\ s))\ (((Suc\ (0::nat)),\ (0::nat)),$   
 $(update\ m\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat))))\ (RA\ ((0::nat),\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat))))))),$   
 $(cs\ update\ (((length\ (h\ e)),\ m)\ \#\ (cs\ e))\ (h\ update\ ((h\ e)\ @\ [((0::nat),\ (Suc\ (Suc$   
 $(0::nat))]))\ e))))\ s))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ True\ s))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (((\%s.\$   
 $((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((EX\ n.\ ((m\ (0::nat)) = (NAT\ n))) \& ((EX\ n.\ ((m\ (Suc$   
 $(0::nat)) = (NAT\ n))) \&$   
 $(EX\ n.\ (((lift\ op\ +\ (m\ (0::nat))\ (m\ (Suc\ (0::nat)))) = (NAT\ n)) \& (n\ <=$   
 $MAX))))))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ (((m\ B) = (NAT\ b0)) \& (EX\ c.\ (((m$   
 $C) = (NAT\ c)) \& (c = (if\ ((b0\ +\ c0) < MAX) then\ c0\ else\ (0::nat))))))\ s) \&$   
 $((\%s.\ True\ s))\ s))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ (pc = ((0::nat),\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc$   
 $(0::nat)))))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ True\ s))\ s))\ s) \dashrightarrow ((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ True$   
 $s) \& ((\%s.\ True\ s))\ (((0::nat),\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat))))))),\ (update\ m$   
 $(0::nat)\ (lift\ op\ +\ (m\ (0::nat))\ (m\ (Suc\ (0::nat))))),\ (h\ update\ ((h\ e)\ @\ [((0::nat),$   
 $(Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat))]))\ e))))\ s))\ s)$   
 $\& ((\%s.\ True\ s))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).$   
 $((Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc$   
 $(0::nat)))))\ <= MAX))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ (((m\ P) = (RA\ (incA$   
 $(callpc\ e)))) \& ((EX\ b.\ ((m\ B) = (NAT\ b))) \& ((EX\ c.\ ((m\ C) = (NAT\ c))) \&$   
 $(ALL\ x.\ ((x \sim = P) \dashrightarrow ((m\ x) = (callmem\ e\ x))))))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ True\ s))\ s))$   
 $s))\ s) \&$   
 $((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ (pc = ((Suc\ (0::nat)),\ (0::nat))))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ True\ s))\ s))\ s))$   
 $\dashrightarrow ((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((EX\ n.\ ((m\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat))))$   
 $= (NAT\ n))) \& (EX\ n.\ ((m\ (Suc\ (0::nat)) = (NAT\ n))))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\$   
 $((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ (pc = ((Suc\ (0::nat)),\ (Suc\ (0::nat))))\ s) \dashrightarrow ((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).$   
 $((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((EX\ n.\ ((m\ (0::nat)) = (NAT\ n))) \& (EX\ n.\ ((m\ (Suc$   
 $(Suc\ (0::nat)) = (NAT\ n))))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((EX\ n\ n'. (((m$   
 $(0::nat)) = (NAT\ n)) \& (((m\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat)))) = (NAT\ n')) \& (n < n'))))$   
 $\& (pc = ((Suc\ (0::nat)),\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat))))\ s) \dashrightarrow ((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((\%s.$   
 $((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ (EX\ pn'\ i'. (((m\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat)))) = (RA\ (pn',\ (Suc$   
 $i')))) \& (EX\ k\ m'\ cl\ css.\ (((cs\ e) = ((k,\ m') \#\ (cl\ \#\ css))) \& ((pn',\ i') =$   
 $((h\ e)\ !\ k))))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((ALL\ x.\ (((x \sim = C) \& ((x \sim = M)$   
 $\& (x \sim = P))) \dashrightarrow ((m\ x) = (callmem\ e\ x))) \& (EX\ b\ c\ c'. (((m\ B) = (NAT$   
 $b)) \& (((m\ C) = (NAT\ c)) \& (((callmem\ e\ C) = (NAT\ c')) \& (c = (if\ ((b\ +\ c')$   
 $< MAX) then\ c'\ else\ (0::nat))))))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ True\ s))\ s))\ (((Suc\ (0::nat)),$   
 $(Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat))))),\ m,\ (h\ update\ ((h\ e)\ @\ [((Suc\ (0::nat)),\ (Suc$   
 $(Suc\ (0::nat))]))\ e))))\ s))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((EX\ n\ n'. (((m$   
 $(0::nat)) = (NAT\ n)) \& (((m\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat)))) = (NAT\ n')) \& (\sim\ (n <$   
 $n'))))\ \&$   
 $(pc = ((Suc\ (0::nat)),\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat))))\ s) \dashrightarrow ((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((\%s.$   
 $((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((0::nat) <= MAX))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ (pc = ((Suc$   
 $(0::nat)),\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat))))\ s) \dashrightarrow ((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,$   
 $e).\ (EX\ pn'\ i'. (((m\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (0::nat)))) = (RA\ (pn',\ (Suc\ i')))) \& (EX$   
 $k\ m'\ cl\ css.\ (((cs\ e) = ((k,\ m') \#\ (cl\ \#\ css))) \& ((pn',\ i') = ((h\ e)\ !\ k))))\ s)$   
 $\& ((\%s.\ (((\%s.\ (pc,\ m,\ e).\ ((ALL\ x.\ (((x \sim = C) \& ((x \sim = M) \& (x \sim = P))) \dashrightarrow$   
 $((m\ x) = (callmem\ e\ x))) \& (EX\ b\ c\ c'. (((m\ B) = (NAT\ b)) \& (((m\ C) = (NAT$   
 $c)) \& (((callmem\ e\ C) = (NAT\ c')) \& (c = (if\ ((b\ +\ c') < MAX) then\ c'\ else$   
 $(0::nat))))))\ s) \& ((\%s.\ True\ s))\ s))\ (((Suc\ (0::nat)),\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc$   
 $(0::nat)))))$



Then, we prove the verification condition

```
lemmas vc-simps [simp] = nat-number split-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv B-def
MAX-def b0-def C-def c0-def M-def P-def update-def fun-upd-apply callpc-def callmem-def
callenv-def incA-def nth-append lift-def
```

Automated Proof

```
lemma vc-prog-holds:
provable prog vc
— start up
apply (simp add: provable-def valid-def | rule allI | rule impI)+
apply (cut-tac wf-prog, drule vc-proof-startup, assumption, (erule conjE | erule
exE)+)
apply (simp only: vc-def)

— main proof
apply auto
apply arith
apply arith
done
```

Manually Guided Proof

```
declare vc-simps [simp del]

lemma vc-prog-holds2:
provable prog vc
— start up
apply (simp add: provable-def valid-def | rule allI | rule impI)+
apply (rename-tac pn i m e)
apply (cut-tac wf-prog)
apply (drule vc-proof-startup)
apply assumption
apply (erule conjE | erule exE)+
apply (simp only: vc-def)

— main proof
apply (case-tac prog, ((pn,i),m,e) ⊨ (initF prog))
apply (simp add: initF-def valid-def B-def MAX-def b0-def C-def c0-def update-def
fun-upd-apply)
— case: not initF
apply (erule isafeP-elims)
apply (simp only: simp-thms fst-conv snd-conv)
— now we know that ((pn,i),m,e) is inductively safe
apply (drule-tac t=s'' in sym)
```

**apply** (*rule conjI*)  
 — (initF prg) implies (isafeF prg (ipc prg))  
**apply** (*simp add: MAX-def c0-def b0-def B-def C-def update-def fun-upd-apply*)

**apply** (*rule conjI*)  
 — (0,2) nach (1,0), prozedureinsprung  
**apply** (*simp add: MAX-def c0-def b0-def B-def C-def P-def update-def fun-upd-apply callpc-def callmem-def nth-append incA-def fst-conv snd-conv*)

**apply** (*rule conjI*)  
 — (0,3) nach (0,4), programmende  
**apply** (*simp add: fst-def*)

**apply** (*rule conjI*)  
 — (1,0) nach (1,4), prozedurbody, zwei wege  
**apply** (*simp add: fst-conv snd-conv MAX-def c0-def b0-def B-def C-def P-def M-def update-def fun-upd-apply*)  
**apply** (*rule impI*)  
**apply** (*erule conjE | erule exE*)  
**apply** (*case-tac css*)  
**apply** (*simp add: Let-def split-def fst-conv snd-conv*)

— case: *css* = a list  
**apply** (*simp add: Let-def split-def fst-conv snd-conv lift-def callpc-def del: sys-inv.simps sysinv2.simps*)  
**apply** (*rule conjI*)  
 — Fall: Sprungbedingung gilt

**apply** (*rule impI*)  
**apply** (*rule conjI*)  
**apply** (*rule-tac x=fst (h e ! fst c) in exI*)  
**apply** (*rule-tac x=snd (h e ! fst c) in exI*)  
**apply** (*simp add: incA-def split-def nat-number Let-def fst-conv snd-conv*)  
**apply** (*rule-tac x=fst c in exI*)  
**apply** (*erule conjE*)  
**apply** (*simp add: nth-append*)  
**apply** (*rule-tac x=snd c in exI*)  
**apply** *simp*

**apply** (*rule conjI*)  
**apply** (*rule allI*)  
**apply** (*rule impI*)  
**apply** (*erule-tac x=x in alle*)  
**apply** (*simp add: callmem-def*)

**apply** (*rule-tac x=ca in exI*)  
**apply** (*simp add: callmem-def*)  
**apply** (*subgoal-tac (b + ca < MAX) = (b < MAX - ca)*)

```

prefer 2
apply (rule sym)
apply (rule less-diff-conv)
apply (simp add: nat-number MAX-def)

```

— Sprungbedingung gilt nicht

```

apply (rule impI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule-tac x=fst (h e ! fst c) in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=snd (h e ! fst c) in exI)
apply (simp add: incA-def split-def nat-number Let-def fst-conv snd-conv)
apply (rule-tac x=fst c in exI)
apply (erule conjE)+
apply (simp add: nth-append)
apply (rule-tac x=snd c in exI)
apply simp

```

```

apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule impI)
apply (erule-tac x=x in allE)
apply (simp add: callmem-def)

```

```

apply (rule-tac x=ca in exI)
apply (simp add: callmem-def)
apply (subgoal-tac (b + ca < MAX) = (b < MAX - ca))
  prefer 2
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (rule less-diff-conv)
apply (simp add: nat-number MAX-def)

```

— (1,4) nach (0,3); prozeduraussprung

```

apply (simp add: MAX-def c0-def b0-def B-def C-def P-def M-def update-def
fun-upd-apply)
apply (rule impI)+
apply (erule conjE | erule exE)+
apply (simp add: Let-def split-def fst-conv snd-conv lift-def callmem-def)
— nprfsize() = 245.170
done

```

**end**