

theory *SALOverflowFWInst* = *SALOverflowPlatform*:

In this theory we show that the SALPlatform satisfies all assumptions of the PCC Framework.

1 Auxiliary Functions and Lemmata

lemma *isafeP-elims*:

```
[[ s ∈ (isafeP prg);
  ∧ s'. [[ s = s'; valid prg s' (initF prg) ]] ⇒ P;
  ∧ s' s''. [[ s = s''; s' ∈ (isafeP prg);
    valid prg s' (isafeF prg (fst s'));
    valid prg s'' (isafeF prg (fst s''));
    (s',s'') ∈ (effS prg)
  ]] ⇒ P ]] ⇒ Pdone
```

lemma *isafeP-elim*:

```
[[ (pc,m,e) ∈ (isafeP prg); 1 < length (cs e) ]] ⇒ (valid prg (pc,m,e) (isafeF prg pc))
done
```

lemma *isafeP-init*:

```
[[ valid prg s (initF prg) ]] ⇒ s ∈ (isafeP prg)done
```

lemma *isafeP-step*:

```
[[ s ∈ (isafeP prg);
  valid prg s (isafeF prg (fst s));
  valid prg s' (isafeF prg (fst s'));
  (s,s') ∈ (effS prg)
]] ⇒ s' ∈ (isafeP prg)done
```

lemma *noProcNoCmd*:

```
[[ noProc prg pn ]] ⇒ cmd prg (pn,i) = Nonedone
```

lemma *delPos-simp*:

```
(pn,i) ∉ set (delPos pl pn)done
```

lemma *delPos-notelem-simp*:

```
pn ≠ pn' ⇒ ((pn,i) ∈ set (delPos pl pn')) = ((pn,i) ∈ set pl)done
```

lemma *noProc-domC*:

```
noProc ps pn ⇒ (((pn,i)::pos) ∉ set (domC ps))done
```

lemma *delPos-comm*:

```
(delPos (delPos pl a) b) = (delPos (delPos pl b) a)done
```

lemma *delPos-split*:

```
delPos (pl@pl') pn = (delPos pl pn) @ (delPos pl' pn)done
```

lemma *noProc-delPos*:
 $\forall ps\ pn. \text{noProc } ps\ pn \longrightarrow (\text{delPos } (\text{domC } ps)\ pn) = (\text{domC } ps)\text{done}$

lemma *domC-simp*:
 $pn \neq pn' \implies ((pn, i) \in \text{set } (\text{domC } ((pn', bdy') \# ps))) = ((pn, i) \in \text{set } (\text{domC } ps))\text{done}$

lemma *set-list-split*:
 $x \in \text{set } l \implies \exists l1\ l2. \ l = l1 @ [x] @ l2\text{done}$

lemma *domC-split*:
 $\text{cmd prg } (pn, i) = \text{Some instr} \implies \exists l1\ l2. \ (\text{domC prg}) = (l1 @ [(pn, i)] @ l2)$
done

lemma *cmd-domC*:
 $\forall \text{instr pc prg}. \ \text{cmd prg pc} = \text{Some instr} \longrightarrow pc \in \text{set } (\text{domC prg})\text{done}$

lemma *domC-cmd*:
 $\forall pc. \ pc \notin \text{set } (\text{domC prg}) \longrightarrow \text{cmd prg pc} = \text{None}\text{done}$

lemma *elem-set-append-cases*:
 $\forall x\ l1\ l2. \ x \in \text{set } (l1 @ l2) = (x \in \text{set } l1) \vee (x \in \text{set } l2)$
by auto

lemma *suc-minus-swap*:
 $\llbracket a \leq b \rrbracket \implies (\text{Suc } b) - a = \text{Suc } (b - a)$
by arith

lemma *rec-upd-simp*:
 $e(\{ h := x, cs := y, h := z \}) = e(\{ cs := y, h := z \})$
by simp

lemma *rec-upd-simp2*:
 $e(\{ h := x, cs := y, cs := y', h := z \}) = e(\{ cs := y', h := z \})$
by simp

lemma *rec-upd-simp3*:
 $e(\{ cs := x, cs := y \}) = e(\{ cs := y \})$
by simp

lemma *min-elim*:
 $(a :: 'a :: \text{order}) < b \implies (\text{min } a\ b) = a\text{done}$

lemma *checkPos-split*:
 $\text{checkPos prg } (l1 @ l2) = ((\text{checkPos prg } l1) \wedge (\text{checkPos prg } l2))\text{done}$

2 Instantiating the Safety Logic

```

theorem SALSafetyLogicIns:
  SafetyLogic Conj Impl TrueF FalseF validdone

lemma isafe-imp-safeF:
   $\forall \text{prg } s. \text{valid prg } s (\text{isafe}(\text{domC prg}, \text{prg}, \text{anF prg}, \text{fst } s, \text{FalseF}, \text{Conj}, \text{Impl}, \text{safeF}, \text{succsF}, \text{wpF})) \rightarrow \text{valid prg } s (\text{safeF prg } (\text{fst } s)) \text{done}$ 

lemma isafeF-imp-safeF:
   $\text{valid prg } (pc, m, e) (\text{isafeF prg } pc) \implies \text{valid prg } (pc, m, e) (\text{safeF prg } pc) \text{done}$ 

```

3 System Invariants

Useful properties about states that originate from a safe execution.

3.1 System Invariant 1

```

consts sysinv::SALstate × SALprogram ⇒ bool

recdef
  sysinv measure ( $\lambda ((pc, m, e), \text{prg}). \text{length } (cs \ e)$ )
  sysinv  $((pc, m, e), \text{prg}) = (\text{case } (cs \ e)$ 
     $\text{of } [] \Rightarrow \text{False}$ 
     $| \ c \# \text{css} \Rightarrow (\text{let } (k, m) = c; (pn', i') = (h \ e)!k; (pn, i) = pc$ 
       $\text{in } (\text{case } \text{css}$ 
         $\text{of } [] \Rightarrow pn = 0 \wedge (\forall i0 \ x. \text{cmd prg } (0, i0) \neq$ 
         $\text{Some } (\text{RET } x))$ 
         $| \ c' \# \text{css}' \Rightarrow (\exists x. \text{cmd prg } (pn', i') = \text{Some}$ 
           $(\text{CALL } x \ pn))$ 
           $\wedge k < \text{length } (h \ e)$ 
           $\wedge \text{sysinv } (((pn', i'), m, e) \ (cs := \text{css}, h := \text{take}$ 
             $k (h \ e) []), \text{prg})$ 
        )
      )
    )
  )

(hints recdef-simp: filterreduction)

```

```

theorem sysinv-pres:
   $\forall \text{prg } s. \text{wf prg} \rightarrow s \in (\text{isafeP prg}) \rightarrow \text{sysinv}(s, \text{prg}) \text{done}$ 

```

3.2 System Invariant 2

```

consts sysinv2::SALstate × SALprogram ⇒ bool

```

```

recdef
  sysinv2 measure ( $\lambda ((pc, m, e), \text{prg}). \text{length } (cs \ e)$ )
  sysinv2  $((pc, m, e), \text{prg}) = (\text{case } (cs \ e)$ 

```

```

of [] => False
| c#css => (let (k,m'')=c; (pn',i')=(h e)!k
    in ( case css
        of [] => True
        | c'#css' => (k < length (h e) ∧
valid prg ((pn',i'),m'',e[] cs:=css, h:=take k (h e) []) (isafeP prg (pn',i')) ∧
sysinv2 (((pn',i'),m'',e[] cs:=css, h:=take k
(h e) []),prg)
        )
    )
)
(hints recdef-simp: filterreduction)

```

lemma sysinv2-pres:
 $\forall \text{ prg } s. \text{ wf prg} \longrightarrow s \in (\text{isafeP prg}) \longrightarrow \text{sysinv2 } (s, \text{prg}) \text{done}$

lemma ex-weak: $\exists n n'. a = \text{NAT } n \wedge b = \text{NAT } n' \wedge n = n' \implies \exists n n'. a = \text{NAT } n \wedge b = \text{NAT } n'$ **by auto**

lemma ex-eq: $(\exists n. m \text{ nat1} = \text{NAT } n) \wedge (\exists n'. m \text{ nat2} = \text{NAT } n') \implies \neg (\exists n. m \text{ nat1} = \text{NAT } n \wedge m \text{ nat2} = \text{NAT } n) \implies (\exists n n'. m \text{ nat1} = \text{NAT } n \wedge m \text{ nat2} = \text{NAT } n' \wedge n \neq n')$ **by auto**

lemma ex-le: $(\exists n. m \text{ nat1} = \text{NAT } n) \wedge (\exists n'. m \text{ nat2} = \text{NAT } n') \implies \neg (\exists n. m \text{ nat1} = \text{NAT } n \wedge (\exists n'. m \text{ nat2} = \text{NAT } n' \wedge n \leq n')) \implies \exists n. m \text{ nat1} = \text{NAT } n \wedge (\exists n'. m \text{ nat2} = \text{NAT } n' \wedge \neg n \leq n')$ **by auto**

lemma ex-less: $(\exists n. m \text{ nat1} = \text{NAT } n) \wedge (\exists n'. m \text{ nat2} = \text{NAT } n') \implies \neg (\exists n. m \text{ nat1} = \text{NAT } n \wedge (\exists n'. m \text{ nat2} = \text{NAT } n' \wedge n < n')) \implies \exists n. m \text{ nat1} = \text{NAT } n \wedge (\exists n'. m \text{ nat2} = \text{NAT } n' \wedge \neg n < n')$ **by auto**

lemma list-length-nth:
 $(la@[i,j])!(\text{length } la)=i \text{done}$

lemma list-length-nth2:
 $(la@[i])!(\text{length } la)=i \text{done}$

lemma list-length-suc-nth:
 $(la@[i,j])!(\text{Suc } (\text{length } la))=j \text{done}$

lemma path-sucessF:
 $[\![l \in \text{paths prg succsF}; k+1 < \text{length } l]\!] \implies \exists B. (l!(k+1), B) \in \text{set } (\text{succsF prg } (l!k)) \text{done}$

lemma less-chain-simp:
 $[\![\forall k. \text{length } l \leq k+1 \vee l!k < l!(k+1); 1 < \text{length } (l::\text{pos list})]\!] \implies \text{hd } l <$

last ldone

lemma *path-length*:

$\llbracket l \in \text{paths prg succsF} \rrbracket \implies 1 < \text{length } l \text{done}$

lemma *loop-has-back-jump*:

$\llbracket l \in \text{paths prg succsF}; \text{hd } l = \text{last } l \rrbracket \implies \exists k. (k+1) < \text{length } l \wedge l!(k+1) \leq l' \text{done}$

lemma *back-jumps-annotated*:

$\text{wf prg} \implies \forall pc'' pc B. (pc'', B) \in \text{set}(\text{succsF prg pc}) \longrightarrow pc'' \leq pc \longrightarrow (\text{anF prg pc}'') \neq \text{None} \text{done}$

lemma *isafeP-isafeF-initF*:

$s \in (\text{isafeP prg}) \implies \text{valid prg s} (\text{initF prg}) \vee \text{valid prg s} (\text{isafeF prg} (\text{fst s}))$

apply (*erule isafeP-elims*)

apply *simp+*

done

Here we prove the framework's requirement for *succsF*

theorem *succsF-complete*:

$\forall \text{prg } s' s. \text{wf prg} \longrightarrow s \in (\text{isafeP prg}) \longrightarrow (s, s') \in (\text{effS prg}) \longrightarrow (\exists B. (\text{fst } s', B) \in \text{set}(\text{succsF prg} (\text{fst s}))) \wedge \text{valid prg s} B) \text{done}$

— Instantiating the VCG Framework

theorem *SAL-VCG-Ins*:

VerificationConditionGenerator Conj Impl TrueF FalseF valid provable effS wpF succsF initF ipc safeF anF domC wfdone

4 Platform Soundness

constdefs *isSafe::SALprogram* \Rightarrow *bool*

$\text{isSafe prg} \equiv (\forall s s'. \text{prg}, s \models \text{initF prg} \wedge (s, s') \in (\text{effS prg})^* \longrightarrow \text{prg}, s' \models \text{safeF prg} (\text{fst s}'))$

theorem *platform-soundness*:

$\llbracket \text{wf prg}; \text{provable prg} (\text{vcgSAL prg}) \rrbracket \implies \text{isSafe prg} \text{done}$

end