LATEX Sugar for Isabelle Documents

Florian Haftmann, Gerwin Klein, Tobias Nipkow, Norbert Schirmer December 3, 2009

Abstract

This document shows how to typset mathematics in Isabelle-based documents in a style close to that in ordinary computer science papers.

1 Introduction

This document is for those Isabelle users who have mastered the art of mixing IATEX text and Isabelle theories and never want to typeset a theorem by hand anymore because they have experienced the bliss of writing O{thm[display]setsum_cartesian_product[no_vars]} and seeing Isabelle typeset it for them:

$$(\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. f x y) = (\sum (x, y) \in A \times B. f x y)$$

No typos, no omissions, no sweat. If you have not experienced that joy, read Chapter 4, *Presenting Theories*, [1] first.

If you have mastered the art of Isabelle's *antiquotations*, i.e. things like the above $\mathfrak{Q}\{\text{thm...}\}$, beware: in your vanity you may be tempted to think that all readers of the stunning ps or pdf documents you can now produce at the drop of a hat will be struck with awe at the beauty unfolding in front of their eyes. Until one day you come across that very critical of readers known as the "common referee". He has the nasty habit of refusing to understand unfamiliar notation like Isabelle's infamous $[] \implies$ no matter how many times you explain it in your paper. Even worse, he thinks that using [] = for anything other than denotational semantics is a cardinal sin that must be punished by instant rejection.

This document shows you how to make Isabelle and IATEX cooperate to produce ordinary looking mathematics that hides the fact that it was typeset by a machine. You merely need to load the right files:

• Import theory LaTeXsugar in the header of your own theory. You may also want bits of OptionalSugar, which you can copy selectively into your own theory or import as a whole. Both theories live in HOL/Library and are found automatically.

• Should you need additional LATEX packages (the text will tell you so), you include them at the beginning of your LATEX document, typically in root.tex. For a start, you should \usepackage{amssymb} — otherwise typesetting ¬(∃x. Px) will fail because the AMS symbol ∄ is missing.

2 HOL syntax

2.1 Logic

The formula $\neg(\exists x. P x)$ is typeset as $\nexists x. P x$.

The predefined constructs if, let and case are set in sans serif font to distinguish them from other functions. This improves readability:

- if b then e_1 else e_2 instead of if b then e_1 else e_2 .
- let $x = e_1$ in e_2 instead of let $x = e_1$ in e_2 .
- case x of $True \Rightarrow e_1 \mid False \Rightarrow e_2$ instead of case x of $True \Rightarrow e_1 \mid False \Rightarrow e_2$.

2.2 Sets

Although set syntax in HOL is already close to standard, we provide a few further improvements:

- $\{x \mid P\}$ instead of $\{x. P\}$.
- \emptyset instead of $\{\}$, where \emptyset is also input syntax.
- $\{a, b, c\} \cup M$ instead of insert a (insert b (insert c M)).

2.3 Lists

If lists are used heavily, the following notations increase readability:

- x·xs instead of x # xs, where x·xs is also input syntax. If you prefer
 more space around the · you have to redefine \isasymcdot in LATEX:
 \renewcommand{\isasymcdot}{\isamath{\,\cdot\,}}
- |xs| instead of length xs.
- $xs_{[n]}$ instead of $nth \ xs \ n$, the nth element of xs.

- Human readers are good at converting automatically from lists to sets. Hence OptionalSugar contains syntax for suppressing the conversion function set: for example, $x \in set$ xs becomes $x \in xs$.
- The @ operation associates implicitly to the right, which leads to unpleasant line breaks if the term is too long for one line. To avoid this, OptionalSugar contains syntax to group @-terms to the left before printing, which leads to better line breaking behaviour:

```
term_0 @ term_1 @ term_2 @ term_3 @ term_4 @ term_5 @ term_6 @ term_7 @ term_8 @ term_9 @ term_{10}
```

2.4 Numbers

Coercions between numeric types are alien to mathematicians who consider, for example, nat as a subset of int. OptionalSugar contains syntax for suppressing numeric coercions such as $int :: nat \Rightarrow int$. For example, int 5 is printed as 5. Embeddings of types nat, int, real are covered; non-injective coercions such as $nat :: int \Rightarrow nat$ are not and should not be hidden.

3 Printing theorems

3.1 Question marks

If you print anything, especially theorems, containing schematic variables they are prefixed with a question mark: $\mathbb{Q}\{\text{thm conjI}\}\$ results in $[P;\ Q]$ $\Longrightarrow P \wedge Q$. Most of the time you would rather not see the question marks. There is an attribute no_vars that you can attach to the theorem that turns its schematic into ordinary free variables: $\mathbb{Q}\{\text{thm conjI}[\text{no_vars}]\}\$ results in $[P;\ Q] \Longrightarrow P \wedge Q$.

This no_vars business can become a bit tedious. If you would rather never see question marks, simply put

```
show_question_marks := false;
```

at the beginning of your file ROOT.ML. The rest of this document is produced with this flag set to false.

Hint: Setting show_question_marks to false only suppresses question marks; variables that end in digits, e.g. x1, are still printed with a trailing .0, e.g. x1.0, their internal index. This can be avoided by turning the last digit into a subscript: write x-isub>1 and obtain the much nicer x_1 .

3.2 Qualified names

If there are multiple declarations of the same name, Isabelle prints the qualified name, for example *T.length*, where *T* is the theory it is defined in, to distinguish it from the predefined *List.length*. In case there is no danger of confusion, you can insist on short names (no qualifiers) by setting short_names, typically in ROOT.ML:

set short_names;

3.3 Variable names

It sometimes happens that you want to change the name of a variable in a theorem before printing it. This can easily be achieved with the help of Isabelle's instantiation attribute where: $[\varphi; \psi] \Longrightarrow \varphi \wedge \psi$ is the result of

$$Q{thm conjl[where P = \phi> and Q = \psi>]}$$

To support the "_"-notation for irrelevant variables the constant DUMMY has been introduced: fst $(a, _) = a$ is produced by

@{thm fst_conv[where b = DUMMY]}

3.4 Inference rules

To print theorems as inference rules you need to include Didier Rémy's mathpartir package [2] for typesetting inference rules in your LATEX file.

Writing ${\tt @\{thm[mode=Rule]\ conjI\}}$ produces $\frac{P}{P \wedge Q}$, even in the middle of a sentence. If you prefer your inference rule on a separate line, maybe with a name,

$$\frac{P}{P \wedge Q}$$
 CONJI

is produced by

\begin{center}
@{thm[mode=Rule] conjI} {\sc conjI}
\end{center}

It is not recommended to use the standard display option together with Rule because centering does not work and because the line breaking mechanisms of display and mathpartir can clash.

Of course you can display multiple rules in this fashion:

```
\begin{center}
@{thm[mode=Rule] conjI} {\sc conjI} \\[1ex]
@{thm[mode=Rule] conjE} {\sc disjI$_1$} \qquad
@{thm[mode=Rule] disjE} {\sc disjI$_2$}
\end{center}
```

yields

$$\frac{P}{P \wedge Q} \stackrel{Q}{\mathrm{conjI}}$$

$$\frac{P}{P \vee Q} \mathrm{disjI}_1 \qquad \frac{Q}{P \vee Q} \mathrm{disjI}_2$$

The mathpartir package copes well if there are too many premises for one line:

Limitations: 1. Premises and conclusion must each not be longer than the line. 2. Premises that are \Longrightarrow -implications are again displayed with a horizontal line, which looks at least unusual.

In case you print theorems without premises no rule will be printed by the Rule print mode. However, you can use Axiom instead:

```
\begin{center}
@{thm[mode=Axiom] refl} {\sc refl}
\end{center}
```

yields

$$\overline{t=t}^{\mathrm{REFL}}$$

3.5 Displays and font sizes

When displaying theorems with the display option, e.g. O{thm[display] refl}

$$t = t$$

the theorem is set in small font. It uses the LATEX-macro \isastyle, which is also the style that regular theory text is set in, e.g.

lemma t = t

Otherwise \isastyleminor is used, which does not modify the font size (assuming you stick to the default \isabellestyle{it} in root.tex). If you prefer normal font size throughout your text, include

\renewcommand{\isastyle}{\isastyleminor}

in root.tex. On the other hand, if you like the small font, just put \isastyle in front of the text in question, e.g. at the start of one of the center-environments above.

The advantage of the display option is that you can display a whole list of theorems in one go. For example, <code>@{thm[display] foldl.simps}</code> generates

```
foldl\ f\ a\ [] = a
foldl\ f\ a\ (x \cdot xs) = foldl\ f\ (f\ a\ x)\ xs
```

3.6 If-then

If you prefer a fake "natural language" style you can produce the body of

```
Theorem 1 If i \leq j and j \leq k then i \leq k.
```

by typing

```
@{thm[mode=IfThen] le_trans}
```

In order to prevent odd line breaks, the premises are put into boxes. At times this is too drastic:

In which case you should use IfThenNoBox instead of IfThen:

3.7 Doing it yourself

If for some reason you want or need to present theorems your own way, you can extract the premises and the conclusion explicitly and combine them as you like:

- Q{thm (prem 1) thm} prints premise 1 of thm.
- Q{thm (concl) thm} prints the conclusion of thm.

For example, "from Q and P we conclude $P \wedge Q$ " is produced by

```
from @{thm (prem 2) conjI} and @{thm (prem 1) conjI}
we conclude @{thm (concl) conjI}
```

Thus you can rearrange or hide premises and typeset the theorem as you like. Styles like (prem 1) are a general mechanism explained in §5.

Figure 1: Example proof in a figure.

3.8 Patterns

In $\S 3.3$ we shows how to create patterns containing "_". You can drive this game even further and extend the syntax of let bindings such that certain functions like fst, hd, etc. are printed as patterns. OptionalSugar provides the following:

4 Proofs

Full proofs, even if written in beautiful Isar style, are likely to be too long and detailed to be included in conference papers, but some key lemmas might be of interest. It is usually easiest to put them in figures like the one in Fig. 1. This was achieved with the **text_raw** command:

```
text_raw {*
  \begin{figure}
  \begin{center}\begin{minipage}{0.6\textwidth}
  \isastyleminor\isamarkuptrue

*}
lemma True
proof -
  -- "pretty trivial"
  show True by force
qed
text_raw {*
  \end{minipage}\end{center}
  \caption{Example proof in a figure.}\label{fig:proof}
  \end{figure}

*}
```

Other theory text, e.g. definitions, can be put in figures, too.

5 Styles

The thm antiquotation works nicely for single theorems, but sets of equations as used in definitions are more difficult to typeset nicely: people tend to prefer aligned = signs.

To deal with such cases where it is desirable to dive into the structure of terms and theorems, Isabelle offers antiquotations featuring "styles":

```
@{thm (style) thm}
@{prop (style) thm}
@{term (style) term}
@{term_type (style) term}
@{typeof (style) term}
```

A "style" is a transformation of a term. There are predefined styles, namely lhs and rhs, prem with one argument, and concl. For example, the output

$$foldl f a [] = a$$

 $foldl f a (x \cdot xs) = foldl f (f a x) xs$

is produced by the following code:

```
\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{1@ {~~@{text "="}~~}1}
@{thm (lhs) foldl_Nil} & @{thm (rhs) foldl_Nil}\\
@{thm (lhs) foldl_Cons} & @{thm (rhs) foldl_Cons}
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
```

Note the space between @ and $\{$ in the tabular argument. It prevents Isabelle from interpreting @ $\{ \ ^{\sim} \dots \ ^{\sim} \}$ as an antiquotation. The styles lhs and rhs extract the left hand side (or right hand side respectively) from the conclusion of propositions consisting of a binary operator (e. g. =, =, <).

Likewise, concl may be used as a style to show just the conclusion of a proposition. For example, take hd_Cons_tl:

$$xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow hd \ xs \cdot tl \ xs = xs$$

To print just the conclusion,

$$hd xs \cdot tl xs = xs$$

type

```
\begin{center}
@{thm (concl) hd_Cons_tl}
\end{center}
```

Beware that any options must be placed *before* the style, as in this example. Further use cases can be found in §3.7. If you are not afraid of ML, you may also define your own styles. Have a look at module Term_Style.

References

- [1] T. Nipkow, L. Paulson, and M. Wenzel. Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic, volume 2283. 2002. http://www.in.tum.de/~nipkow/LNCS2283/.
- [2] D. Rémy. mathpartir. http://cristal.inria.fr/~remy/latex/.