## How to Prove it in Isabelle/HOL

Tobias Nipkow

February 20, 2021

#### Abstract

How does one perform induction on the length of a list? How are numerals converted into *Suc* terms? How does one prove equalities in rings and other algebraic structures?

This document is a collection of practical hints and techniques for dealing with specific frequently occurring situations in proofs in Isabelle/HOL. Not arbitrary proofs but proofs that refer to material that is part of *Main* or *Complex\_Main*.

This is not an introduction to

- proofs in general; for that see mathematics or logic books.
- Isabelle/HOL and its proof language; for that see the tutorial [1] or the reference manual [3].
- the contents of theory *Main*; for that see the overview [2].

# Contents

| 1 | Main |                          |   |
|---|------|--------------------------|---|
|   | 1.1  | Natural numbers          | 2 |
|   | 1.2  | Lists                    | 2 |
|   | 1.3  | Algebraic simplification | 3 |

## Chapter 1

## Main

### 1.1 Natural numbers

#### Induction rules

In addition to structural induction there is the induction rule *less\_induct*:

 $(\bigwedge x. \ (\bigwedge y. \ y < x \Longrightarrow P \ y) \Longrightarrow P \ x) \Longrightarrow P \ a$ 

This is often called "complete induction". It is applied like this:

(induction n rule: less\_induct)

In fact, it is not restricted to *nat* but works for any wellfounded order <.

There are many more special induction rules. You can find all of them via the Find button (in Isabelle/jedit) with the following search criteria:

name: Nat name: induct

How to convert numerals into *Suc* terms Solution: simplify with the lemma *numeral\_eq\_Suc*. Example:

lemma fixes x :: int shows " $x \uparrow 3 = x * x * x$ " by  $(simp \ add: numeral\_eq\_Suc)$ 

This is a typical situation: function " $\sim$ " is defined by pattern matching on Suc but is applied to a numeral.

Note: simplification with  $numeral\_eq\_Suc$  will convert all numerals. One can be more specific with the lemmas  $numeral\_2\_eq\_2$  (2 = Suc (Suc 0)) and  $numeral\_3\_eq\_3$  (3 = Suc (Suc (Suc 0))).

### 1.2 Lists

#### Induction rules

In addition to structural induction there are a few more induction rules that come in handy at times: • Structural induction where the new element is appended to the end of the list (*rev\_induct*):

 $\llbracket P \ []; \land x \ xs. \ P \ xs \Longrightarrow P \ (xs \ @ \ [x]) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \ xs$ 

• Induction on the length of a list (*length\_induct*):

 $(\bigwedge xs. \forall ys. length ys < length xs \longrightarrow P ys \Longrightarrow P xs) \Longrightarrow P xs$ 

• Simultaneous induction on two lists of the same length (*list\_induct2*):

 $\begin{bmatrix} \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys; P \text{ [] []}; \\ \land x xs y ys. \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys; P xs ys \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow P (x \# xs) (y \# ys) \end{bmatrix} \\ \implies P xs ys$ 

### **1.3** Algebraic simplification

On the numeric types *nat*, *int* and *real*, proof method *simp* and friends can deal with a limited amount of linear arithmetic (no multiplication except by numerals) and method *arith* can handle full linear arithmetic (on *nat*, *int* including quantifiers). But what to do when proper multiplication is involved? At this point it can be helpful to simplify with the lemma list *algebra\_simps*. Examples:

lemma fixes x :: intshows "(x + y) \* (y - z) = (y - z) \* x + y \* (y - z)" by(simp add: algebra\_simps)

lemma fixes  $x :: "'a :: comm_ring"$ shows "(x + y) \* (y - z) = (y - z) \* x + y \* (y - z)"by(simp add: algebra\_simps)

Rewriting with  $algebra\_simps$  has the following effect: terms are rewritten into a normal form by multiplying out, rearranging sums and products into some canonical order. In the above lemma the normal form will be something like x \* y + y \* y - x \* z - y \* z. This works for concrete types like *int* as well as for classes like *comm\_ring* (commutative rings). For some classes (e.g. *ring* and *comm\_ring*) this yields a decision procedure for equality.

Additional function and predicate symbols are not a problem either:

lemma fixes  $f :: "int \Rightarrow int"$  shows "2 \* f(x\*y) - f(y\*x) < f(y\*x) + 1" by(simp add: algebra\_simps)

Here algebra\_simps merely has the effect of rewriting y \* x to x \* y (or the other way around). This yields a problem of the form 2 \* t - t < t + 1 and we are back in the realm of linear arithmetic.

Because *algebra\_simps* multiplies out, terms can explode. If one merely wants to bring sums or products into a canonical order it suffices to rewrite with *ac\_simps*:

lemma fixes  $f :: "int \Rightarrow int"$  shows "f(x\*y\*z) - f(z\*x\*y) = 0" by(simp add: ac\_simps)

The lemmas *algebra\_simps* take care of addition, subtraction and multiplication (algebraic structures up to rings) but ignore division (fields). The lemmas *field\_simps* also deal with division:

**lemma fixes**  $x :: real \text{ shows } "x+z \neq 0 \Longrightarrow 1 + y/(x+z) = (x+y+z)/(x+z) "$ **by**(simp add: field\_simps)

Warning: *field\_simps* can blow up your terms beyond recognition.

# Bibliography

- [1] Tobias Nipkow. *Programming and Proving in Isabelle/HOL*. https://isabelle.in.tum.de/doc/prog-prove.pdf.
- [2] Tobias Nipkow. What's in Main. https://isabelle.in.tum.de/doc/ main.pdf.
- [3] Makarius Wenzel. The Isabelle/Isar Reference Manual. https:// isabelle.in.tum.de/doc/isar-ref.pdf.